Exhibit E - Deposition of Ofc. Kerry Kubla

	Page 130
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA))SS
3	COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	I, Holly Larsen, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place
7	aforesaid;
8	That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
9	truth, and nothing but the truth;
10	That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript
11	of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said
12	time to the best of my ability.
13	I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a
14	relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the
15	action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties
16	involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript
17	review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived.
18	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of
19	October, 2024.
20	
21	
22	
23	Holly Larsen
24	HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680
25	HODDI DANSDIN, CON NO. 000

1	Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
3				
4	LATIA ALEXANDER,) individually as heir of)			
5	ISAIAH T. WILLIAMS and in)			
6	her capacity as Special) Administrator of the Estate)			
7	of ISAIAH T. WILLIAMS,			
	Plaintiff,			
8	vs.) Case No.			
9)2:24-cv-00074-APG-NJK LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN)			
10	POLICE DEPARTMENT, a)			
11	political subdivision of the) State of Nevada, et al.,)			
12	Defendants.)			
13)			
14				
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KERRY KUBLA			
16	Taken on Friday, October 11, 2024			
17	By a Certified Stenographer and Legal Videographer			
18	At 10:02 a.m.			
19	At 400 South Seventh Street			
20	Las Vegas, Nevada			
21				
22				
23	Stenographically reported by:			
24	Holly Larsen, NV CCR 680, CA CSR 12170			
25	Job No. 57950 - Firm No. 116F			
	OOD NO. 3/330 - FILM NO. 116F			

			2		
1	APPEARANCES			1	PROCEEDINGS
2	For the Pla:				
3		RPHY'S LAW, PC		2	-000-
	ву	: CORRINE MURPHY, ESQ.		3	
4	262	20 Regatta Drive		4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We ar
		ite 102		5	now on the record. This begins the video-recorded
5		S Vegas, Nevada 89128		6	_
_	702	2.820.5763		1	deposition of Kerry Kubla.
6 7	For the Defe	andanta.		7	Today's date is October 11, 2024. The
8		RQUIS AURBACH		8	time on the video monitor is 10:02 a.m. We are
•		: CRAIG ANDERSON, ESQ.		9	located at 400 South 7th Street in Las Vegas,
9		001 Park Run Drive		10	Nevada.
	Las	Vegas, Nevada 89145		11	This case is entitled Latia Alexander,
10	702	2.382.0711			·
11				12	et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
12	For the Depo	nent:		13	Department, et al. The case number is
12	coc	OK & KELESIS		14	2:24-cv-00074-APG-NJK in the United States District
13		GEORGE KELESIS, ESQ.		15	Court, District of Nevada.
		South Ninth Street		16	
14	Las	Vegas, Nevada 89101		1	My name is Dawn Beck, legal video
	702	2.737.7702		17	specialist. The court reporter is Holly Larsen. W
1.5				18	represent Lexitas.
L 6	The Legal Vi			19	Will counselors please state your
17 18	DAV	IN BECK		20	appearances.
19				21	
20					MS. MURPHY: Good morning. Corrine
21				22	Murphy, Bar Number 10410, on behalf of plaintiff.
22				23	MR. ANDERSON: Craig Anderson on behalf
23				24	of the defendants.
24 25				25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
1 2	WITNESS	INDEX	PAGE	1 2	Will the court reporter please swear in the witness.
3	KERRY KUBLA			_	
4		tion by Ms. Murphy	5	3	Whereupon,
5	DAG!!!ZIIG	cross by Mb. Marphy	3	4	KERRY KUBLA,
-					
				5	having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth,
6				-	having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, was examined, and testified as follows:
6 7				6	having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, was examined, and testified as follows:
				6	was examined, and testified as follows:
7		ЕХНІВІТЅ		6	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this
7 8 9	NUMBER	ЕХНІВІТЅ	PAGE	6	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry
7 8 9	NUMBER Exhibit 1	EXHIBITS Notice of Deposition	PAGE 6	6	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry
7 8				6 7 8 9	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al.,
7 8 9 10	Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review	6	6 7 8 9 10 11	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
7 8 9 .0 .1	Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition	6	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al.,
7 8 9 .0 .1	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074.
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2	Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review	6	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION
7 8 9 .0 .1 2	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074.
7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY:
7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy,
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff,
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case.
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case. Could you please state and spell your
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case.
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case. Could you please state and spell your full name for the record.
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case. Could you please state and spell your full name for the record. A. It's Kerry Paul Kubla. K-e-r-r-y
7 8 9 0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 0 1 2	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case. Could you please state and spell your full name for the record. A. It's Kerry Paul Kubla. K-e-r-r-y P-a-u-I K-u-b-I-a.
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .7 .8 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case. Could you please state and spell your full name for the record. A. It's Kerry Paul Kubla. K-e-r-r-y P-a-u-I K-u-b-I-a. Q. You have been requested to be here todal
7 8 9 0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 0 1 2	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	Notice of Deposition Critical Incident Review Team document	6 53	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was examined, and testified as follows: MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. EXAMINATION BY MS. MURPHY: Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia Alexander, in this case. Could you please state and spell your full name for the record. A. It's Kerry Paul Kubla. K-e-r-r-y P-a-u-I K-u-b-I-a.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

6 reviewed the notice of deposition? 1 A. Just two days ago. 2 Yes, ma'am. 2 Q. Okay. And you said that you reviewed 3 MS. MURPHY: Okay. Can we mark that as 3 the prior stuff. 4 Exhibit 1, please. 4 Can you tell me what that was? 5 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 5 I read over my interview, my interview BY MS. MURPHY: 6 from work that I had given, my CIRT interview, and 7 And you understand that you're here just went over just the address stuff, different today to discuss the officer-involved shooting of stuff, you know, making sure that -- it's been two Isaiah Williams? 9 9 years, so making sure I was up to date on that. 10 Yes, ma'am. A. 10 And did you review your answers to 11 Q. Have you ever given your deposition 11 interrogatories or your request for production of 12 before? 12 documents? 13 A. No, ma'am. 13 A. I'm sorry. I don't --14 Q. Okay. I'm sure that your attorney 14 Q. That's okay. 15 walked you through the basic instructions. The only 15 I'm not sure I understand. A. 16 one that I'm going to remind you of is that the oath We use names for different stuff. 16 you took at the beginning of this deposition is the 17 So they kind of look like this. These same oath that you would take if you were in a court are Brice Clements', but they kind of look like 18 of law. So although we're not in a formal courtroom 19 this. Interrogatories, requests for production. with a judge, the same penalties of perjury apply. 20 20 If you don't remember something --21 Do you understand that? 21 A. I don't remember, ma'am. 22 A. Yes, ma'am. 22 So that's actually a really good thing. 23 Is there any reason that you would not 23 I want to talk about that for a second. 24 be able to understand my questions and give truthful 24 I want to go over briefly what the 25 and accurate testimony today? 25 difference is between "I don't remember" and a 7 9 1 A. No, ma'am. 1 quess. 2 Do you understand that I'm entitled to 2 If you really don't remember anything --3 your best and fullest answer to all of my questions? 3 look, this happened two years ago. It's normal not 4 to remember stuff sometimes. Your honest answer is A. Yes, ma'am. 5 "I don't remember," don't tell me "no" or "I don't Are you under any medications or anything that would inhibit your ability to provide think so" if the answer is actually "I don't your best and truthful testimony today? 7 remember." Okay? 8 A. No, ma'am. 8 Yes, ma'am. A. 9 Q. Okay. Can you please state your address 9 You're under oath, and if we go to trial we need to be able to rely on the testimony you give 10 for the record? 11 A. today. So "I don't know" is an appropriate answer, 12 12 but under no circumstances do I want you guessing. 13 13 Okay? Q. Can you please tell me everything that 14 you did to prepare for today's deposition? 14 A. Yes, ma'am. 15 Reviewed -- went over some of the stuff 15 Q. Would you prefer that I call you Kerry 16 that I had prior, reviewed that. 16 or Officer Kubla? 17 I met with Craig Anderson and just kind 17 A. Kerry is fine, ma'am. 18 of caught up on the case itself a little. 18 Q. Other than your own CIRT statement, did you read the deposition transcript of any other Okay. And I'm not entitled to know what 19 19 20 you and Craig talked about, but I am entitled to 20 officers? 21 know how many times you met with him and for 21 A. No, ma'am. 22 approximately how long. 22 Did you read the CIRT statements of any Q. 23 A. Met once. Maybe one to two hours, I 23 other officers? 24 believe. 24

25

A.

Okay. And when was that?

Q.

25

Did you review your body-worn camera?

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	10		12
1	A. I have reviewed that before, ma'am, yes.	1	currently working the SWAT bureau.
2	Q. Okay. And did you review any of the	2	Q. What's SWAT bureau?
3	other officers' body-worn camera?	3	A. That would be the special weapons and
4	A. Yes, ma'am.	4	tactics. We're in the Homeland Security division.
5	Q. Okay. And I discussed it briefly before	5	Q. And how is that different from what you
6	we got on the record. Because I don't have my	6	were working at the time of this incident on
7	computer system here with me here today we won't be	7	January 10, 2022?
8	reviewing the body-worn camera, but I will be asking	8	A. It's the same position, ma'am.
9	you very specific questions about it.	9	Q. Okay. And how long have you been in
10	Before we kind of get into everything	10	that position for?
11	else, reviewing your body-worn camera when you	11	A. I've been in that position coming up on
12	reviewed your body-worn camera recently, two years	12	six years.
13	past the incident, was there anything that jogged	13	Q. And can you kind of walk me through your
14	your memory or surprised you when you reviewed the	14	professional background with Metro, like when you
15	video?	15	got hired and the different positions you held up to
16	A. No, ma'am.	16	the one that you currently hold?
17	 Q. Okay. Was reviewing the video 	17	A. I hired on the department in February
18	consistent with what you remember recounting to the	18	of 2008. From there I went through the academy,
19	CIRT interview two years ago?	19	which is a six-month academy.
20	A. Yes, ma'am.	20	After that I went to patrol. I worked
21	Q. Okay. Have you discussed this	21	Northeast Area Command as a patrol officer.
22	deposition with any of your codefendants?	22	Completed my field training there.
23	A. No, ma'am.	23	Then I stayed working over there for the
24 25	Q. Have you discussed your deposition here today other than with Craig, have you discussed	24 25	
	11	23	graveyard. Probably 90 percent of that time was on
4		1	
1 2	your deposition with anyone else? A. No, ma'am.	1 2	graveyard.
3	A. No, ma'am. Q. What's your usual work schedule?		While I was over there working, I did go
ა 4	A. My normal schedule is basically 3	3	into another squad, which was like a swing-shift squad, and that was on a gang enforcement team, kind
5	o'clock to 12 o'clock or 2 o'clock to 12 o'clock.	5	of like within the detective side of it, where we
3	Q. That's 2:00 p.m.?	6	did gang enforcement stuff.
7	A. Yeah. 1400 hours to 0000.	7	Q. And how close in time from when you were
_		1	working the gang enforcement to your transition over
8 9	Q. And what days?A. My off days, my RDOs, would be Thursday,	9	to SWAT?
0	Friday, Saturday.	10	
1	Q. So this is one of your off days; is that	11	A. It was a couple of years. I was in a vehicle accident during that time, whenever I was
2	correct?	12	assigned to that position. I left there due to an
13	A. Yes, ma'am.	13	injury. Went through workman's comp-related.
14	Q. Okay. Now, Kerry, I'm going to ask you	14	went through that, and I transitioned.
5	some questions about your professional background.	15	I was at the fusion center while I was
6	What's your highest level of education?	16	light duty. So I went to the fusion center, and I
7	A. Graduated high school. I took a couple	17	was up there for approximately I would say a year
8	of classes in community college, but nothing that	18	maybe, working up there. And then whenever I left
9	I just got a couple credits here and there.	19	there, went back to my position and started testing
0	Q. Okay. And what community college was	20	for SWAT.
1	that?	21	Q. Okay. And what drew you to SWAT?
			a. Onay. And what diew you to overal?

Nevada, Clark County Community College.

And what is your current position with

I'm a Police Officer 2, and I'm

22

23

24

25

A.

Q.

Metro?

Just something I always wanted to do.

23 The comradery, the brotherhood of everybody, the

24 team, working as a team together. That was pretty

25 much what I was looking for position-wise within the

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

14 16 department. 1 That would have been outside your normal 2 Q. Okay. And so as we sit here today, 2 work hours; correct? 3 you're still holding the same SWAT position that you Yeah. It was planned for 5:00 a.m. 3 A. 4 were at the time of the incident. And so is it fair 4 Sorry, 5:00 a.m.? Q. 5 for me to assume that you were familiar with serving 5 A. Yes, ma'am. 6 these type of warrants, given that you -- sorry. 6 Q. Kerry, can you tell me, what does 7 Hold on. Let me do the math. 7 "knock-and-announce" mean to you? 8 So at the time of this incident, you had 8 A knock-and-announce is a warrant where 9 been with SWAT for what? Four years? Three years? 9 we are obligated to announce what we're there for, 10 Yes, ma'am, three years. 10 who we are, what we're there for, and make knocks on 11 a door prior to making entry, giving the suspect or Okay. So is it fair for me to assume 12 then at the time of this incident you were fairly giving whoever we're serving, the residents at the familiar with serving warrants through SWAT? warrant, the opportunity to come to the door. 14 Yes, ma'am. I would say a few hundred 14 Okay. And as we sit here today, was your understanding of what knock-and-announce meant 15 at least. I mean, we typically do 3 to 400 warrants 15 16 a year, so it's guite active. Now, I might not do the same as it was in 2022? 17 17 all 300 to 400 because we have full-week coverage. Yes, ma'am. 18 But we do work a lot of time on our off days as 18 Q. Okay. And so if I understand your 19 well. testimony correctly, part of the purpose of 20 Q. knock-and-announce is to give the person or people So when you say 3 to 400, do you mean 21 that for, like, the whole SWAT unit? on the other side of the door the opportunity to 22 Our SWAT unit is divided into two squads 22 come answer the door; correct? 23 which cover the full seven-week coverage. We have 23 Yes, ma'am. There's different 24 24 mitigating factors as far as what that timeline one day in common. 25 So your squad does 3 to 400 of these 25 would be. 15 17 search warrant service a year; correct? 1 Q. If you won't mind, Kerry, if you can 2 A. No, ma'am. 2 walk me through what those mitigating factors are. 3 Q. Sorry. 3 It might be the -- how do I explain 4 Our division, our section, does --4 that? A. basically we're one big team, and we're split into 5 So one would be -two smaller coverage teams that cover for the 6 Q. Don't worry about using formal language. 7 seven-week coverage. Just tell me like we weren't in a deposition. Just 8 Q. And why is it a seven-week coverage? walk me through it. Don't worry about saying Just so that we have coverage throughout exactly the right words. Just explain, like, from 9 10 the whole Valley, throughout the whole Valley for your point of view this is what it is. 11 the entire week. We respond to everything, and 11 A. What the normal times are traffic's been 12 we're on call 24/7. 12 seen in and out of the residence. 13 13 So within the unit the only time we're The time, what time it is, time of day 14 off is if we're on vacation and out of town. Other 14 it is. 15 than that, we're responding to different calls. 15 How many people are outside at the time. 16 Q. And so at the time of this incident in 16 Officer safety issues as far as where 17

17 2022, did you have the same work schedule? The 18 2:00 p.m. to 12:00 p.m. with Thursday, Friday,

19 Saturday off?

- 20 I believe at that time, ma'am, we were
- 21 3:00 to 1:00 at that time, our hours were.
- 22 3:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.? Q.
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. So the service of the warrant was I

think roughly what? 4 o'clock in the morning?

we're located, if we're out in the middle someplace where there's no place to provide cover. 18

19 Whether the subject is armed or could be 20 armed inside the residence.

21 What the subject's priors are. What the 22 crime is that we're going for.

23 A lot of things mitigate how long we're going to sit out there and announce on a knock-and-announce.

Kerry Kubla

4

7

8

25

13

14

18

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

It could be different types of tactical plans that we put together as far as how we're going to serve the warrant.

To your knowledge, Kerry, is there any 5 amount of time that you must wait following the knock before entering? Like, is there a minimum amount of time?

A. It's a reasonable amount, ma'am.

9 Q. Can you tell me -- I know you walked 10 through some of those parameters for me, Kerry. But in an instance like this, although not exactly --

12 I'm just going to do a hypothetical.

13 In an instance similar to this, what 14 would you consider a reasonable amount of time?

15 A reasonable amount of time on this one 16 is going to be a lot shorter than others due to the 17 fact that we were looking for homicide suspects.

18 There were firearms involved. There were multiple 19 incidents involving other shootings within the

20 complex where subjects were seen going towards that 21 building, that apartment.

22 It was somewhat known to be a flophouse 23 for gang members that were in and out of there, and 24 they were seen with guns prior.

The crime was a homicide that had taken

1 And there were citizens that were 2 directly above the target's residence. Not only above them, but on the rear side, the two corners of 4 the apartment, where a subject that was in there 5 could very easily -- I've seen it a hundred times, where they could very easily kick through, and now we have a suspect in the neighboring apartment with citizens that are in there that it could create a 9 hostage situation.

20

21

10 Then there's also the -- right across 11 that wall, which was about approximately a 5-foot 12 block wall, cinderblock wall, with 3 feet of iron on 13 top of it that you could see through, and that was a 24-hour Arco gas station. So the only place we would be able to park armor would be in that gas station parking lot, and you're going to have citizens in and out of there, in the line of fire, while we were out there attempting to serve that warrant. 19 20

Along with the other side of that residence was basically on Nellis Boulevard, which 22 was a very thorough street at that time in the morning. There's going to be a lot of traffic out

24 there, a lot of people walking down the sidewalk.

25 which would put them approximately maybe 20 yards --

19

9

place a couple of months prior to that where they 2 shot and killed somebody, for the suspects that we

3 were in question -- looking for at that residence.

4 As well as other -- you know, there were other 5 gang-affiliated that were in and out of that

6 residence.

7 Okay. And so if I understand correctly, 8 a lot of what you would base to be a reasonable amount of time -- either this incident or an 10 incident similar to it, is because of who you 11 believe to be on the other side of the door; is that 12 correct?

A. That is a big part of it.

The other thing are environmental 15 factors. So close proximity to other citizens that 16 are in the area. It could be our cover where we 17 have to be at as far as for cover.

On this particular search warrant was a 19 controlled entry that we did on there due to the 20 fact that we were unable to park armored vehicles in 21 a location where we could basically contain the 22 entire apartment. That was cut off due to the fact 23 that there was no way to get armor back to where 24 that apartment was because of the wall that was 25 around there.

1 15 to 20 yards from the front of that residence and 2 the windows of there.

3 So we wouldn't be able to contain that position there. So that obviously would take a lot longer as far as us knocking and announcing.

6 Can you walk me through, what's the 7 difference between -- I know that the acronym for it 8 is "CET."

What's the difference between a 10 controlled entry tactic and a surround and callout?

11 So a surround and callout, I'll briefly explain. We're going to surround and contain that structure prior to making announcements. We're going to basically set up containment so we know we 15 have a full perimeter around there. Keeping access from the suspect being able to get out and break our 17 perimeter or, like I said, break into another 18 neighboring apartment.

19 Then once we do that, we're going to 20 start with announcements. We would call the suspects out over our PA system and continue to make 22 announcements to try and get the suspect to come 23 out.

If that didn't take place and they weren't responding, then we would use other



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

22 1 de-escalating factors of maybe going to a distract going to be in here to make sure nobody kicks 2 plan by dropping noise-flash diversionary devices, through your door or does anything like that? Is that a reasonable question? 3 which might cause a loud noise, to kind of, like, 3 4 4 provide a little bit more -- without doing any harm Yes, ma'am. 100 percent. 5 to them, but put a little more pressure on there to 5 To answer that question, if we're on a get them to answer. barricade, we do do that. We evacuate people in 7 We would keep doing that until those residences to make sure there isn't a 8 eventually we're going to have to go into that 8 kick-through. 9 residence. So we would eventually get to a point in 9 On a search warrant it is a little 10 different because the time of day, to be able to 10 time where the team leader and the -- assistant team 11 leader and the team leader would make a plan to go 11 contact people. To be honest with you, some 12 up and we're either going to have to manually breach 12 citizens just aren't going to answer the door, or 13 the door, or we might have to -- in certain 13 they are going to say, Hey, I'm not leaving. I'm staying here. We have that sometimes. 14 circumstances that might turn into a semi-barricaded 14 15 subject if we know that they're in there. Then we 15 We do make entry through those on 16 might have to go to different options as far as 16 certain calls. Hostage rescues we will do that. 17 breaching, ballistic breaching. 17 We'll go through that wall to get in various entry 18 Let me loop back for a second, because points to get into that target residence. 18 19 I've heard from a lot of officers the concern about 19 Just to clarify though, to your

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

8

13

15

23

correct?

A.

20 kicking through the doors to get to people in the 21 apartment. 22

Why not just go in through the other 23 apartment then?

24 A. Into the --

25 Q. Why not say to the residents, like, Hey,

person's constitutional rights to have clear notice

1 we're serving a search warrant and we need to come 2 in here and make sure -- is that a dumb -- I really

3 don't know. Everyone has talked about this and

4 you're, like -- you seem to be familiar with it, so 5 I'm going to ask you.

6 A. One, you probably wouldn't be

7 comfortable with somebody coming in and ripping your

8 walls out to go through them to get to a neighboring

9 apartment. They're not going to let you just come

10 in and give you consent to do that.

11 Q. Sorry. That's not what I meant. So

12 let's say -- I don't know quite how else to ask it.

13 Because I've heard this answer over and over again.

14 Part of the basis from your guys' point of view as

15 officers in the field about what a reasonable amount

16 of time is is also this concern that a suspect, if

17 given too much time, can kick through an apartment

18 wall and get into the other apartment of the person

19 next to them. 20

A. Yes, ma'am.

21 Let me ask the question more artfully. 22 Why not when serving the search warrant,

23 if it's certain the suspects may kick through the

24 wall, go to the other apartment and say, Hey, we're

25 serving a search warrant next door. We're just

of a police officer's intent to enter? 2

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

knowledge there's no minimum amount of time you must

Just a reasonable amount depending on

Okay. Do you understand it is part of a

wait when executing a knock-and-announce warrant;

Go ahead and answer.

the circumstances that are articulated.

5 THE WITNESS: On a no-knock warrant you

would not need that.

7 BY MS. MURPHY:

Thank you for making that clarification.

9 On a knock-and-announce, do you

10 understand that it is part of a person's

11 constitutional rights to have clear notice of a

12 police officer's intent to enter?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.

14 Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

BY MS. MURPHY: 16

17 Q. What is the first and most important 18 constitutional right that any individual has?

19 A. Their --

20 Q. In your opinion.

21 Well, their freedom. A.

22 Q. Okay. In performing your job as a

police officer, do you agree with me that you have a

duty to conduct yourself such that you do not

violate the civil or constitutional rights of



24

Kerry Kubla

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

I members of the public?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. Do you also agree that if you see other

4 officers violating the civil or constitutional

5 rights of a member of the public, you have a duty to

6 intervene and stop that officer?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. And we talked about it. You just hit on

9 it. But what is a no-knock warrant?

10 A. A no-knock warrant would be applied for 11 by the detectives. They would apply for that.

On a no-knock warrant you would need to have circumstances and be able to articulate the

reasons for that.
 And then whenever they would apply for
 that, basically we would not need to knock and

17 announce prior to making that entry. Now, once we18 make entry, we will be announcing, This is the

10 make entry, we will be almounting, this is t

19 police department with a search warrant.

But prior to that we could basicallybreach that door by what means we decided in our

22 tactical plan, whether it be ramming the door,

23 whether it be a ballistic breach, an explosive

24 breach, different tactics that we would use to get

25 into that residence.

2

3

1 knock-and-announce warrant would be used?

28

29

2 A. Do I have an understanding of when?

3 Q. Yes.

4 A. Yes. I would say that the nature of the 5 crime. You know, the suspect's priors, what he's

one before. If there's guns involved, what the

7 crime is. There's a lot of mitigating factors that

8 would reach to that for a no-knock.

9 It might be just where the subject is,10 where the residence is, where we can't physically

1 get, you know, to that area. We're going to be

12 basically in an open area up there, whether it might

13 be -- I don't know. There could be -- you know,

14 whether it's a fatal-funnel-type thing, where

15 officers are going to be standing there just in a

16 long hallway with no other access, and if somebody

17 was to start shooting rounds through the walls or

18 come out shooting, we're just stuck in a fatal

19 funnel.

20

21

4

So there's a lot of different things that go into that as far as a no-knock.

22 And then for that no-knock warrant you

23 would have to justify all that and then push that

24 up, and then, you know, it would have to be approved

5 all the way up through the chain.

27

That would be the difference between a

no-knock and a knock-and-announce warrant.

Q. Okay. And my understanding, Kerry, and

4 you tell me if I'm right or wrong, for it to be a

5 no-knock there's kind of some rigorous steps that6 the homicide detectives would have to go through to

7 get that judicial approval; correct?

8 A. Yes, ma'am. Well, that goes way above 9 me and the detectives. But yes, ma'am. They would

have to apply for that, and that would have to gothrough our department, all the way up the chain.

12 Q. So you just kind of clarified that, and

13 I'm glad you did, Kerry.

14 You just get the warrant: right? You're

You just get the warrant; right? You're
not involved in deciding whether it's going to be
knock or no-knock, and you're not doing what they
call the IAP? You're not involved in any of that;

18 correct?

19 A. Correct, ma'am.

20 Q. But in this instance you did do part of

21 the recon; correct?

22 A. Yes, ma'am.

23 Q. We'll get to that in a minute.

Do you have any understanding about when

25 a no-knock warrant would be used versus when a

1 Q. Okay. And my understanding of this2 incident is that there was approval for a CET on a

3 knock-and-announce warrant; correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. As we sit here today, are you aware of

any change of policy within LVMPD that you can no

7 longer use a CET on a knock-and-announce warrant?

8 A. No. No, ma'am. Not on a -- well, if we 9 had a CET, it would be a no-knock warrant.

10 Q. So just to clarify, because things can

11 look a little weird on paper when we read it back.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. I just want to clarify, as we sit here

4 today you understand that in order to have a CET it

15 would have to be a no-knock warrant; correct?

16 A. Yes, ma'am. Unless there was, like, an17 exigent circumstance, like, say, a hostage rescue or

18 something. We're not waiting for that prior to.

19 That's exigent.

20 Q. Of course. But here there was no

21 hostage situation?

22 A. No, ma'am.

23 Q. Okay. In your professional opinion,

24 somebody who's been working on SWAT for this many

years and have served this many warrants, do you

LEXITA:

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

32 believe that there was a conflict between went and did the recon for this? 2 knock-and-announce and a CET? 2 A. Yes, ma'am. 3 During this event? 3 But when you got the IAP, were you aware 4 Q. In general. I'm asking for your 4 of any kind of the background of it being submitted 5 professional opinion. several times and different versions and that kind 6 I'm sorry. of thina? A. Q. No. Is there a conflict? 7 7 A. No, ma'am. We normally don't get 8 A. A conflict? 8 something until it's vetted and it's gone through 9 Q. Yeah. the chain back and forth. So whatever happens on 10 No. I think we're still -- we're still 10 that end, it could take a couple minutes. It could take a couple days. It just depends on what goes 11 doing a due diligence of announcing ourselves, not only letting whoever's inside, but letting neighbors through. That's above our grade. Whether it's at 13 know that we're out there and we're serving a the sergeant level or the lieutenant level or even 14 warrant just so they kind of know what's going on. the captain level at that time, different things 15 You know, like on this very incident, 15 could be requested or asked for and different things 16 whenever we were out there knocking and announcing, 16 17 somebody else from a neighboring apartment stepped 17 Once we get it, it's pretty much done, out asking, Hey, you know, we're down here. We're 18 and, you know, we're pretty much ready to serve the 19 serving a warrant down here. Go back inside. warrant, so the recon goes out to conduct the 20 So somebody did hear we were out there, 20 reconnaissance. 21 which brought them to step out to see what was going 21 Q. We talked about the change in policy, 22 on out there. but I just want to confirm. 22 23 Q. And that happened in this incident? 23 As we sit here today, LVMPD policies 24 A. As far as I remember, yes, ma'am. 24 would not allow this type of warrant to be served as 25 Okay. Can you walk me through that? 25 a CET; correct? 31 33 All I have is the body-worn cameras, so 1 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 2 can you walk me through that a little bit more? Sure. As we sit here today, based on 3 Whenever we were on approach, going to 3 the change of policies that we discussed earlier, the front door, we started announcements. As we LVMPD policies would not allow for this type of 5 were making announcements, obviously there's a short warrant to be served as a CET; correct? 6 timeline, but a couple announcements came out. 6 A. Correct. 7 There was a stun stick that was involved, so that 7 So now I'm going to actually ask you 8 went off. 8 about the warrant a little bit. 9 Somebody from -- I remember hearing 9 Do you remember when you first became 10 somebody upstairs poking out, like out on the aware of the warrant? 10 landing, to see what was going on. And somebody 11 Α. It would have been the night before, 12 saying, Hey, go back inside. We're downstairs. 12 which would have been 1/9 of '22. 13 Q. Okay. 13 Do you have any -- I mean, I know that 14 I couldn't tell you who that was. I 14 you know kind of how these come in and stuff. 15 just remember hearing that. I was focused on 15 Do you have any independent recollection 16 looking towards the door. 16 of that? 17 And did you agree -- you had no 17 A. How it came in? 18 involvement in the IAP; correct? 18 Q. Yeah. 19 No. Other than looking at it. But no 19 The assistant team leader, who was Jake 20 involvement with writing it or putting anything in 20 Werner that evening or that day, notified us that 21 there, no, ma'am. 21 there was a warrant that needed to be served. It 22 You weren't involved with the kind of was actually -- at first it was going to be a 23 multiple versions going in; correct? simultaneous warrant where we hit both residences at 24 A. No, ma'am. 24 the same time related to the same crime of homicide. 25

25

When you got the IAP and -- because you

Q.

He needed reconnaissance officers to go

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

36 out, so he assigned myself and Brett /KOZ to 4475 Jimmy Durante residence. Jimmy Durante, and then he assigned Kai Hoskins and 2 Okay. So do you know if any of the Mark Eshe to the 3050 South Nellis address. other two officers, do you know if they went back Due to the fact that this was going to and did more recon at 3350 South Nellis, or was that 5 be a simultaneous warrant, it ended up changing that 5 the totality of it? it wasn't going to be a simultaneous; we were going 6 A. At 3050? to conduct a search warrant on one residence and 7 Q. Yes. then immediately go to the following residence. 8 A. I do not know if they went back out 9 Sometimes I'm a bad interrupter. If 9 there or not. I focused on the residence we did a 10 you're not done talking, just tell me. 10 reconnaissance on. 11 No. Go ahead. I might ramble. 11 That's kind of the -- that's kind of the 12 That's okay. That's okay. 12 takeaway I'm getting from your dialogue about this, 13 I thought that you had done recon on 13 and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, because this 3550 [sic] South Nellis. That's what I kind of 14 sometimes I misunderstand things. 15 took away. 15 You guys went out there together. You 16 Did you not do any of the recon on 3550? 16 were personally in the Arco, but really it was the Maybe because I was rambling I didn't 17 17 other two officers that were walking around. It was 18 get to that because it took me a while. their task to kind of do the recon on 3350. 18 19 So whenever we went out to do the 19 Am I mistaken or correct on that? 20 reconnaissance of both residences, we went and got 20 Yes, ma'am. I believe 3050, right? A. 21 one vehicle for us to take so we weren't going --21 Q. Sorry. Am I saying 3350? 22 because we were going to have a route from our brief 22 A. Yeah. 23 spot to this location, from this location to the 23 Q. Sorry. I'll just call it South Nellis. 24 next. We have to drive that route. So we all went 24 A. Perfect. 25 together in one vehicle. 25 Q. That's easier for the court reporter. 35 37

So our assistant team leader, which was 2 Jake Werner, Mark Eshe, Kai Hoskins, myself, and 3 Brett Brosnahan all went in the same van, vehicle, 4 drove to that location. We pulled up to the front. 5 Mark Eshe and Kai Hoskins exited the vehicle. They 6 did walk by us through the residence, did what they 7 needed to complete their reconnaissance.

8 After that we drove -- left that 9 complex, pulled into the gas station real quick that 10 was just across from there so we can kind of see 11 what the front was. Just because where that target 12 residence was, it was very hard -- unless you were 13 going to that apartment, there was no reason for you 14 to be back there. So it was very hard to get back 15 there unless you were in that bottom apartment or 16 the top apartment. So somebody, if they seen you 17 back there, they would probably wonder why you were 18 back there.

19 So we did drive around to the Arco, 20 stopped at the gas station, got out. I think 21 somebody might have got out, walked inside the gas 22 station, came back out.

23 We stayed in there looking over there 24 just to kind of get a rough look at it real quick.

Then we left there and went to the 4475

So for the Nellis apartment, although 2 you were in the car with them, you weren't actively doing recon on the Nellis apartment, were you?

4 A. No. Personally, I was looking at things. Because we're all going to be serving that

warrant together. So in my mind, I'm looking to see, okay, how far is it from here to there, what

8 the outside surrounding areas look like.

So I was looking, but I wasn't doing --10 like, I would normally do a thorough reconnaissance.

11 Just because of the fact that it's more

12 than likely, with the high volume of people in and out over there and the people that were conducting business, I would say, on a day-to-day operation, it

15 would look very odd for four or five of us out

walking around, strolling through this neighborhood. 17 So we keep it to, depending on the circumstances and

18 where we're at, as minimal as possible footprint.

19 I want to make sure. There was a lot of 20 dialogue. I'm going to cherry-pick some parts, and 21 I do want to make sure I understand.

22 As we sit here you don't know if the other recon officers went back and did more recon.

24 Is that fair? Or you do know?

I do not know, ma'am.

25

9

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

38 1 Q. I'm sorry if I asked this and I didn't 1 Q. Sorry. Keep going. catch it -- if you answered and I didn't catch it. 2 2 A. So once we completed that reconnaissance Do you know approximately how long you 3 3 of that residence, whenever we went back, there was 4 a lot more involved with the reconnaissance. We were there for? 5 A. During the reconnaissance? still do a lot of planning. We pull up photos. We 6 Q. pull up different stuff that we use to draw a plan 7 A. 7 I would say it probably took an hour for of the residence. So we do more of that after the 8 both residences. Probably approximately around 8 reconnaissance, like afterwards. 9 there. I'm not a hundred percent on that. 9 So that hour was just doing the drive-by And that's just on that -- sorry. 10 Q. 10 and the walk-through, and then after that it was 11 A. No. go ahead. probably a couple hours at least that we went back 11 That's just on that car trip; right? 12 Q. 12 and going over the planning phase of that. 13 A. Yes, ma'am. Yes. 13 But you tell me. You were doing that 14 Q. Okay. And having reviewed the CIRT for Jimmy Durante, not Nellis; correct? 14 15 report, it would appear that you guys did some 15 A. Yes, ma'am. detailed -- you tell me if I'm right or wrong -- you 16 Q. Okay. So in terms of what happened for guys did some detailed recon on the Jimmy Durante 17 17 Nellis and the other two officers, you weren't 18 apartment; correct? involved in them doing the follow-up, like, whatever 19 Like, you have pictures of potential additional information they were collecting; is that 20 suspects in the parking lot? Like, you were trying 20 correct? to connect people with the Jimmy Durante apartment? 21 A. No, ma'am. 22 Like, is that fair for me to say, or am I wrong? 22 Q. That wasn't part of your task; correct? 23 We didn't do as thorough, I wouldn't 23 A. No, ma'am. 24 say. I mean, they are both thorough. I won't say 24 And so do you think -- I know that you 25 they're not thorough. 25 did it for the Jimmy Durante one.

39

41

1 We probably spent less time at the Jimmy 2 Durante residence than what we did over there just due to the fact we were going to do a surround and callout over there. We didn't need an approach, 5 like to walk it for an approach, to walk through 6 there. 7

But we did have intel. The suspect 8 vehicle was parked out there in the parking lot.

I'm sorry. When you say "in the parking 10 lot," you're talking about the Jimmy Durante?

A. The Jimmy Durante. It looked like -- we 12 didn't have eyes on it over there, but I think 13 whenever we talked to -- it might have been the 14 detectives -- I can't give you exact name on that --15 they witnessed the vehicle was parked over there in 16 that parking lot and it hadn't moved. It's been 17 seen over there parked in the same lot for quite a 18 while.

We were checking that residence because 20 there were known to be a child at that residence.

21 I'm sorry. It gets a little confusing Q. 22 on paper.

23 When you say "that residence," you're 24 talking about Jimmy Durante?

Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. Jimmy Durante.

Do you think that your recon and your follow-up work to prepare for serving that warrant, 2 3 do you think that that was sufficient?

It would have been nice to find out. 4 A. Yeah. But other than that, I think it would have been, related to where it was and parking the vehicles in there. We had plenty of room to park two armored vehicles in front of there containing it. We'd be able to get close enough because it was an open parking lot, so we could get as close as 11 needed to keep suspects from exiting there and getting into a neighborhood apartment. We could 12 13 contain it on both sides of the building, contain

There was a child in that residence as well, that was known to be in there. We had 17 confirmed that. So doing other things, like, as far as using a stun stick over there probably wouldn't have been involved over there due to that fact, knowing that there could be a child in there.

And we could slow that one down a bit 22 and go from there on that residence. It would be 23 easy to contain that fully with armor.

I'm going to skip around a little bit, 25 and I'll get into the specific -- I will also follow



14

15

18

19

20

21

24

both those sides.

9

11

19

25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

42 1 up later on with the specific conclusion and the 1 2 CIRT report. But I will tell you part of it was 3 there was essentially insufficient recon done on the 3 have PC. 4 Nellis apartment; that there was a lot of unknowns 4 Q. On the plans, has that changed to 5 surrounding the Nellis apartment. 5 But I want to confirm, that would not 6 have fallen within your tasks? That wasn't part of 7 related to this incident? 8 your duty related to the search warrant; is that 8 A. To be honest with you, I don't know. 9 correct? 9 Q. But there has been a change in the form: 10 10 Not on the -- no, ma'am, not on the is that correct?

11 reconnaissance. If that was the case, I would have 12 been walking it myself on that.

13 So there's a lot of things that I 14 wouldn't have picked up on that reconnaissance by 15 just being in the car and being from the parking lot across the way.

17 Q. Okay. So if I ask you you're part of the recon team, you're part of the recon team for 18 the execution of this warrant but not specifically for the Nellis apartment? 20

21 A. Yes, ma'am.

22 Q. What was your understanding of the type

23 of warrant this was?

25

4

15

18

24 What type of warrant? A.

Yeah. Like, what were they looking for? Q.

Now, on our written plans now we do have a PC spot on there, whether they have PC or do not

have -- that, like, section about whether they have PC or not have PC, was that changed in the form

It's not a form per se. It's -- if 11 Α. you've seen the pictures of it -- so I'm sure you've 13 seen the pictures of it -- we draw that. So some draw better than others. But there's a list down 14 15 there that we put down there for children, elderly, fortification, cameras, any cameras up there. 17 There's a list we put up there. Pets, dogs,

children, that's all listed in there. 18

19 Now we also add PC on there from the 20 detectives as far as if they have PC or they do not 21 have PC.

22 Okay. And I'm really sorry, I didn't 23 bring my computer, so we're not going to be able to 24 look at the body-worn cameras. But I think maybe I 25 have pictures of it.

43

45

A. Homicide suspects. Related to a 1 2 homicide. 3

Q. Was this an arrest warrant?

A. It was -- for the homicide suspects in there, under my understanding is that the homicide did not have PC on arresting suspects in there.

7 However, the -- and I don't want to 8 speak out to which detectives. I think it might 9 have been the gang detectives, but it might not have 10 been. It might have been some other detectives. 11 That they had probably cause due to the fact that 12 the suspects self-incriminated them. I think the 13 stepmother had talked to them, and they incriminated 14 themselves as far as what they had done.

And that was done during that --16 whatever investigation that they -- that whoever it 17 was that we talked to.

I'm not a hundred percent, like I said 19 on -- because it was so long ago and I can't 20 remember who it was we spoke to as far as who the 21 detective was that had that intel and information. 22 So I thought that they had PC for suspects in there.

23 Of course on our plan and everything on 24 there it doesn't say PC on there, on our written plan. So I'm not a hundred percent on that.

1 Are you talking about, like, the kind of 2 diagrams that you guys do and the drawing you did and you post up on the side of the car? 4 A. Yes, ma'am. So the information page,

which is the first page -- well, you wouldn't have the first page. But the page that's usually to the left, the information page, is going to have the address of the target residence. In this case it was two residences. It will have an event number. We had an event number for this event. We didn't pull an event -- I don't remember pulling an event 12 for the other address because we didn't go to that 13 yet.

And then it has suspects listed, other 15 occupants listed, and their priors, whether there's guns involved. All that stuff is listed on that information page.

18 And then the next intel sheet would be a 19 draw of the structure, and that would go over the structure, surrounding area, number of windows, 21 number of doors, which way the doors swing, whether 22 there's fortification on there.

23 And then the third page would be our tactical lineup or our entry team depending on which option we're going with, whether it's surround and

14

16

Kerry Kubla

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

callout or it's an entry. It lists operators
 involved on that one.
 Q. Okay. Give me just a moment. I'm

4 trying to find -- because I know that I've seen
5 something like that in the CIRT report. I'm just
6 trying to see if we're talking about the same thing.
7 Just to confirm, there's now, for lack

7 Just to confirm, there's now, for lack 8 of a better term, a little PC check box; correct?

9 A. Yes, ma'am. We put PC on there. It 10 lets others know there, along with, like, K-9, for 11 instance. If we're going into a structure and we

don't have PC for the suspect and suspect gets outand runs, that K-9 doesn't have PC. Unless he can

14 generate his own PC to send his dog on that person,

15 whether it's because he sees a weapon, he sees

something else, he's not going to deploy a dog onsomebody that he doesn't have PC on. If he has PC

18 on that person, on that subject, and that person is

out and on the go, then more than likely, dependingon the crime, he's going to be able to utilize that

21 dog as a low-lethal option.

22 Q. Okay. Found it. All right.

23 So, Officer Kubla -- sorry, Kerry, I'm

pulling out a couple of pages from the CIRT report.

25 And I believe that these are what you were talking

1 is -- when you talked about the briefing -- or the

2 thing that you now add PC to, is that what -- is

48

49

3 that what you were talking about?

4 A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. Okay.

6 A. And to be honest, it's very hard to see

on this picture. But on the very bottom left of

8 this picture that was taken, where it's listed on

9 there as far as -- it's listed on there as far as

10 guns, children, elderly, all that stuff is listed

11 down there on the bottom left, which would be for --

12 they're actually separated on here. One side is for

13 the 3050 South Nellis and the other is Jimmy

14 Durante. So there's a list for each of those

15 because of the fact that there were two on this16 plan.

17 Q. Just to confirm, that's Bates 4377; 18 correct?

19 A. Yes, ma'am. LVMPD 004377.

20 Q. Yeah. Because there's three

21 different -- it's 77, 78, and 79.

So the one you're talking about specifically is the chart that's on 4377?

24 A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And I see exactly what you're talking

47

25

about in terms of the plan. So if you wouldn't mind

2 just taking a minute and looking those over.3 Sorry. I should have asked you what

4 page number is that before I handed them to you.

5 MR. ANDERSON: Read the bottom Bates 6 stamps. LVMPD 4378.

7 THE WITNESS: 123 page of 222?

MR. ANDERSON: Go to this number here on the bottom right. LVMPD --

9 the bottom right. LVMPD --10 THE WITNESS: 4377.

11 BY MS. MURPHY:

8

25

12 Q. Kerry, I'll tell you -- you've probably

13 seen it a little bit in this case already --

14 oftentimes we end up producing thousands and

15 thousands and thousands of pages of documents, and

16 it gets a little confusing when we refer to the

17 internal page document. So as lawyers what we do is

18 we mark all the documents with what we call Bates

19 numbers. It's that alphanumeric number down at the20 bottom. It's like a page number for the entire

21 case, for every single document that's been

22 produced. That is page 4,378. That's what Craig

23 was referring to. We call it a Bates number.

24 A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Just to get back on track though, that

1 about. Yeah, down at the bottom there's like --

2 there's two stacks where there's the Nellis one

3 and -- it is a little hard to read. But, yeah, it

4 says Nellis and Jimmy Durante.

5 That's what you were pointing out to me;

6 correct?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. So in terms of, like, kids, dogs, it's,

9 like, all saying no, no, no, no, no, for Nellis;

10 correct?

8

11 A. Yes. There is a thing on there for

12 guns --

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. -- listed. But the others were listed

15 as noes.

16 Q. And just -- and I want to -- based on

17 your earlier testimony, the information supplied for

8 all of this would come from the other two officers

19 that were on the recon team because they were tasked

20 with Nellis; correct?

21 A. Yes, ma'am. I mean, we would all kind

22 of have an understanding of that a little bit from

reading the warrant and what's going on and talking,

24 hearing from the detectives. So, like, I might have

heard the detectives say one thing over another.

Kerry Kubla

4

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

The gun I knew because of the warrant, 2 reading the warrant, that there was a small-framed 3 handgun that was involved.

And then there was also related -- that 5 wasn't just in here, but there was also a related call where the -- I want to say -- sorry. Corvell 7 had priors for the firearms, prohibited person 8 firearm. And he also had -- I want to say Rembert? 9 Is it Rembert? Rembert was stopped prior in a 10 vehicle, and he had what appeared to be -- not a

11 hundred percent, but it was either an MP5 or a

12 short-barrel pistol -- rifle, like AR-style rifle, 13 and a handgun that he was stopped with on a previous

14 stop. So he was known to have weapons as well.

15 As we sit here today, other than the 16 phone call with the aunt or the grandma -- I can't

17 remember if it was aunt or grandma -- like over a 18 month before, to your awareness, other than that

19 comment, were you aware if it was ever confirmed

20 that Corvell or Rembert -- I always get them

21 confused --

4

22 A. It's Fisher and Rembert.

23 Q. Yeah, Fisher and Rembert.

24 To your knowledge, were they ever, like,

25 associated with this apartment?

Or if they had PC, if they're arresting them, not 2 arresting them.

3 We don't actually do the arresting. We 4 detain the suspects or subjects that are inside. We

52

53

5 detain everybody that's in there, and then we hand them off to the detectives and they do their

7 investigation as far as what they're going to follow

8 up with.

9

10

25

3

9

51

Q. And so I just want to make sure I understand.

11 In terms of this incident, your

12 understanding was they didn't have PC for the homicide they were executing the property search 13

warrant for, but they did have PC from other

15 investigations to arrest the suspects, had they been

16 present?

A. 17 Yes, ma'am. That was my understanding.

18 Q. So was this an arrest warrant, or was it

19 a search warrant for property, or both?

20 A. The search warrant was for the evidence 21

and the property of the --

22 Q. But the intent was also to arrest the

23 suspects; is that correct?

24 A. Yes, ma'am,

> I'm going to ask you to hand that to the Q.

Just the stepmother that had stated that 2 they were in and out over here and it was a 3 flophouse.

And to be honest, I can't remember if 5 there was anything else in there in the follow-up or 6 if the detectives had sighted them over there or 7 seen them over there or if there might have been a 8 car related over there. I can't go on record to say 9 a hundred percent, but I thought that the vehicle 10 that he was stopped in came from that area, that he

11 was stopped with the firearms in.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. And that was due to a relationship, I 14 think, because of the shooting that took place in 15 there where multiple subjects were seen running to 16 that apartment.

17 Okay. If I understand your earlier 18 testimony, just to clarify, the chart on page 4377, 19 now there is a little section that's added, like PC; 20 is that correct?

21 A. Yes. In that list that we normally put 22 on there we'll add PC on there. We will check and 23 confirm with the detectives, the detectives that are 24 there, just in case something changed from the time 25 that the warrant came up or whatever the case was.

court reporter, because she's actually going to mark 2 that as Exhibit 2.

(Exhibit 2 marked.)

BY MS. MURPHY: 4

5 Kerry, turning to page 4378, can you 6 tell me what that's a photo of?

7 This one would be a photo of the Nellis 8 address, 3050 South Nellis.

Q. And then the next page, 4379?

10 A. 4379, that would be the -- our entry and lineup team, our officer page, which has all the 12 officers listed in there involved, what the vehicle package is, the entry line formation. All that 14 stuff is listed on that one.

15 And there's -- there might be -- I don't 16 know if there's another picture or if this --17 there's somewhat of a picture of the Jimmy Durante residence just to the right in this picture. It 19 doesn't show the whole thing, but there's partial of 20 that in there.

21 The actual target to that Jimmy Durante 22 would be on the southwest corner of that Building 6 that's in the bottom of this picture, which is not 24 in this photo.

I'll represent to you, I don't think

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

54 56 that they took a picture of that one too, because actual CIRT report itself? 1 that warrant was never served. 2 And it's C-I-R-T. 3 So do you know who makes these drawings? 3 A. I did go over that briefly just to go 4 I don't want to admit to it, but, yeah, 4 over it, yes. 5 we make the drawings. 5 Q. What parts -- listen. It's 222 pages. 6 Q. Listen, the handwriting is much nicer 6 What parts did you go over? 7 than mine. 7 Yes. I didn't go over the whole page of A. 8 On this instance did you do these 8 everything in there, no, ma'am. 9 charts, or do you know who did them? 9 Q. Did you read the conclusions? 10 No. The officer lineup will be done by 10 A. I went through some of those, yes, I 11 Jake Werner, who is assistant team leader. He would did. 11 12 have done the draw -- the planning phase for that 12 Q. And I'll ask you specifically about --13 and issued all the assignments for all the officers 13 I'll ask you specifically about some of the 14 involved, between him and the team leader or the conclusions later. 15 sergeant that night. 15 When you did go through the conclusions, 16 And the information page and the draw of 16 were there any that you were surprised by or 17 the residence would be done by the reconnaissance disagreed with? 17 18 officers. 18 A. Not that I could remember, no. 19 Q. Q. And so you were part of the -- well, you 19 Do you remember that -- do you remember 20 were part of the recon, so did you provide the 20 any of the conclusions addressing that there was information for the -- did you do the Jimmy Durante actually a series of unknown facts about the Nellis 21 apartment? 22 part? 22 23 A. Yes, ma'am. 23 A. Yes, briefly. And I wasn't sure if that 24 Okay. On the first page. Q. was stuff that wasn't -- I don't know if it wasn't 25 But you didn't do the Nellis part; put in the warrant itself, but it was talked about 55 57

1 correct?

2 A. No, ma'am.

3 MS. MURPHY: We've been going for a

little while, going for about an hour.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off 5 6

record at 11:00 a.m.

7 (A break was taken.)

8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record

9 at 11:11 a.m.

10 BY MS. MURPHY:

11 Q. Kerry, we took a brief break, but just

12 to confirm, although we have taken a break, the oath

13 that you took at the beginning of the deposition

14 still applies.

15

Do you understand that?

16 Yes, ma'am. A.

17 Q. Okay. On break I saw you talking to

18 Craig. Did you call anyone or talk to anyone else

19 while on break?

20 A. No, ma'am. My phone was in here,

21 actually.

22 Q. So we've gone over the photos that you

23 had at the briefing.

24 As we sit here today, I know that you've 25 read your own CIRT statement. Have you read the

1 amongst detectives and information from there. I don't know if that was --2

3 Sorry, not about the -- not about the warrant. But when I say unknowns about the

apartment, like, although we looked over that photo

earlier that had all the items listed, like dogs,

no; kids, no, in fact, that stuff wasn't -- one of

the conclusions that CIRT came to was that that

9 stuff wasn't known; that there was insufficient

10 recon.

11 So I guess I'm asking you, did you 12 review any part of that conclusion in the CIRT

report, or were you aware of that? 13

Just that it said about the recon 14 A.

15 being -- however they worded it, whether it was

insufficient or -- yes, I did see that. 16

17 Q. Okay. And do you have any thoughts on

that? 18

19 Some of the stuff in there I don't think

20 you're going to be able to know. If there's not,

you know, power set in somebody's name, if there's

22 not different things, you know, utilities in

23 somebody's name, I don't think anybody is going to

know, unless you have surveillance on a residence

25 24 hours a day, if somebody's in there, not in

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

58 1 there. Some of the stuff, you know, you can find 2 out during a reconnaissance, but other things we 3 don't have, you know, days and days to perform this, 4 so there's some stuff that's not going to be able to 4 5 get on there. But I'm not sure what all findings 5 there were that weren't, you know, found to be in 7 there. 7 8 So is it my understanding, based on the Q. 8 9 comments you just made, that you think it's not 10 possible to have all that information before serving 11 a search warrant? 12 I think some of the stuff could be done 12 apartment. 13

13 by detectives. Yes, they could spend more time out 14 there. They could do more follow-up stuff and do 15 that prior to applying for the search warrant. So I 16 think some of that stuff can be dug up. You can dig 17 into that stuff a lot more.

18 I don't think that we have the ability 19 as SWAT operators conducting a recon of a residence 20 to be able to put in that much seat time, which 21 would be applicable or more for the detectives to 22 do, just due to the fact we have a very limited 23 amount of time prior to that and then whenever the 24 warrant is going to be served. 25

I think I know, but tell me, what does

for instance, a door, like a target residence, it's very hard or we're not going to go up to a target residence door to see what that door is made out of.

60

61

We can -- some residences we can see by looking at from a distance. We can check other doors throughout the complex. Whenever I perform reconnaissance, I take a magnet with me and I check doorframes and doors to other apartments throughout the complex, because I'm not going to get -- I'm not going to, you know, be noticed by the suspect or whoever is in that target because I'm at a different

So we can check others to do that. But 14 to go up to the actual target residence would be 15 very, very hard to do, and you're jeopardizing, you know, losing everything as far as the mission and 17 compromising that we're out there by doing that. BY MS. MURPHY: 18

19 Q. So you kind of alluded to it, and I 20 wanted to make sure.

21 The door here, you know there was an 22 issue with the door here; correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

24 And what's your understanding of what

25 the issue with the door was?

59

23

"seat time" mean?

1

14

18

19

20

25

2 Like being out there, for instance. 3 Being able to go out there and set, you know, surveillance on a residence for hours throughout the night to see patterns of life, different stuff like 6 that. We don't have that in our unit.

Detectives might have that. I'm not 8 saying that they do have that time, but we really 9 don't have time to set on a place and put in that 10 amount of time to basically get a lot of that 11 patterns of life and contacting, you know, different 12 areas, whether it be schools to find out if there's 13 kids related to the target residence.

So do you think the CIRT conclusion 15 places an unfair burden or unrealistic expectation of what the SWAT recon can know before serving a 17 search warrant?

MR. ANDERSON: Object to form.

Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly what 21 they had issues with. So if it was specifically 22 certain things, there's certain things we can get, 23 certain things that we -- I would say that we can't 24 get.

I've been to residences before where.

The issue -- well, the main issue with

2 it was there was a brass wrap on the door. The

brass wrap, if you were able to get up there and get

good eyes on the door, you would see there's a brass

wrap on there. Not saying that a brass-wrapped door

6 is impenetrable. However, it is going to be a

7 little bit harder to breach than a door that doesn't

have a brass wrap, because it's keeping that locking

mechanism from bending or deforming because of that

10 wrap that wraps around the locking mechanism.

11 Now, if that was a wooden frame, with a 12 brass wrap on a wood-framed door, more than likely the wood frame -- it is not very hard to snap a wood frame, and that wood frame is going to be 15 compromised prior to that door being compromised. 16

So that would have something that obviously was missed or obviously would be missed in there, so I see that being an issue. Because you should be able to get by that and get a closer look just by walking by, without actually going up to the 21 door and being able to see that.

22 And that would -- I'm not going to say a 23 hundred percent it would change the plan, but you 24 would know that that door is going to be a little 25 bit stouter. So it might take an extra hit on the



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

62 64 door. It might take a ballistic breach of -- using 1 driving one of the BearCats on the Jimmy Durante 2 a ballistic round from a shot clock prior to hitting 2 target, so he was going to be driving one of the 3 the door. It could be using an explosive breach on 3 BearCats over there to that -- actually, he drove 4 the door. Different options that we would have. 4 for the 3050 actually also. So I drove him by so he We would still have to get those, 5 5 knew the route going in there, so he wasn't confused 6 basically, options approved by going up through our with it, and he's actually seen where he's supposed 7 chain, but different options that we would have that to land at with the vehicle and going over all that 7 8 we could throw out there. 8 stuff with them. So I drove them by real quick to 9 So not having that information, that 9 do that in the morning. 10 could have changed things. 10 We conducted the briefing of the ATL. 11 Okay. But it wasn't your responsibility Assistant Team Leader Jake Werner conducted the 11 12 to determine something like -- it wasn't part of 12 briefing. Went over that. 13 your recon assignment to determine what kind of door 13 And I believe that one of the detectives 14 it was or if there was a brass wrap; correct? 14 was asked to talk about the -- basically the warrant 15 Correct, ma'am. 15 a little bit, because some officers that --16 Q. Okay. And -- okay. And so let's kind 16 obviously you might have K-9 there. They don't 17 of get into the incident itself. know -- they haven't seen the warrant. They don't 17 18 And so, Kerry, I know that you were the know anything about it. So it gives them an 19 first one through the door. If any of this opportunity to just briefly go over what this is 20 testimony is difficult for you to get through and 20 for. It's for a homicide due to the fact that a 21 you want to take a break, you just tell me. We can 21 couple months ago, I believe it was in November, two 22 take a break. If it's fine for you to just power 22 suspects shot and killed somebody who ended up dying 23 through, that's good. But if you feel at any point 23 at the bus stop. Well, he left the bus stop, but he 24 24 in time like, Hey, this is a little difficult for me ended up dying from that.

25

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

63

1 tell me. Okay?

3

2 Yes, ma'am. A.

Q. We looked at it briefly. We looked at

25 to talk about. I want to take a break. You just

the photos -- or the drawings from Sam's Town.

5 Can you kind of walk me -- and I know 6 that you -- I'm assuming it's fresh in your memory 7 because you recently watched the video; correct? 8

Yes, ma'am.

9 So if you can kind of walk me through 10 your dialogue of, okay, you arrive at Sam's Town,

11 and then what happens?

12 So I left my house in my work truck, 13 arrived over there at Sam's Town to start putting up 14 the papers and get everything ready. So I got there 15 a little bit early from whenever the actual brief 16 was, due to the fact I was a reconnaissance officer.

17 Got over there for the address 4475 18 Jimmy Durante, but I was a part of that plan. 19 Whenever I was over there, I ended up getting a 20 detective car and driving Officer Adam and Sergeant 21 Clarkson at the time, I drove them both by both 22 residences, because one was going to be a sniper or

23 a Sierra unit, so he was going to need to see that 24 target and see what would be the best location for

25 him, so he went with me. And Sergeant Clarkson was

then we go over the planning phase as far as the assistant team leader goes over the plan and what

everybody's duties are throughout the plan.

4 Once that's done, then we basically 5

kitted up, but we got all of our gear on. 6

If it's called "kitted up," that's fine. We put all our gear on, whether it be

our vests, our helmets, all the equipment we needed.

They briefly go over that with them, and

We do that. We get everything done.

Meet back at our location -- not our location, but meet back at the vehicle package that we're driving over there. Then we basically load 12 onto the vehicle the way we're supposed to, because everybody has got a certain position on there. Then we drive over to the target residence location.

Keep going through everything?

Yeah. If you're good with keeping Q. going, keep on going.

18 19 So we get to the target location. We 20 unload off the BearCat, and the other vehicles get in their positions. We get into a double-stacked 22 formation. We had an entry line on one side, who consisted of Jasper Williams -- I'm sorry -- Jasper Washington, who was in front of me. He was on a shield, which was going to lead up. I was going to



Kerry Kubla

4

9

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

be number-one entry behind him. He was going to 2 shield going up there. And then the lineup went 3 from there back.

I'd have to look at the list to be a 5 hundred percent. I know it was Jasper, myself, it 6 was Brice Clements, and then back -- from there back 7 it might have got a little bit -- I'm not a hundred percent on that. I'd have to look at that.

On the right side there was two takedown 10 officers that were leading up over there, which was Brett Brosnahan and Blake Dixon.

12 There was also a floor side containment 13 that was going over there for the window on the other side where the stun stick was being deployed, 15 which would have been Officer Rothenburg and Officer 16 Bertuccini. Officer Rothenburg had a shield, the 17 shield up as well.

18 So we make our approach up to the 19 apartment. We go down the sidewalk that's going to 20 it. We come around the corner, wrap the corner. Once we get to that corner wrap, the other guys get 22 set over in their position. We're set at that 23 point.

24 Sergeant Russ Backman called out and 25 gave the announcements. The plan was to give out

get up there. He went up there, and the stun stick 2 was inserted.

3 Stun stick went off, which was one --4 the stun stick is a noise-flash diversionary device 5 which was attached to a rake that was inserted through the window. Whenever they inserted that 7 through the window, rendered the area clear in

8 there, then they initiated that.

9 Kai Hoskins stepped up to start breaching the door. He hit the door. The plan 10 was -- in the details before, the plan was going to 12 be he was going to hit the door. If he called for a shock to come up, then Officer Hancock, Levi 13 14 Hancock, would step up. He had a shot clock, which 15 is like a small shotgun, issues compressed copper.

He would go up there and hit the locking mechanism 17 to try and soften that up. If that softened it up,

he stepped out, he was able to get the door open and we still had time on our side, then we would make

entry into the residence. 20

21 So Kai hit the door a couple times. I 22 think he hit it on the third time. It started to --

I could see from where I was that whenever he hit it

24 I could see, like, a little bit of light through the

25 edge of the door, so I knew it was starting to

67

4

69

68

1 two announcements, and then the stun stick was to -2 supposed to give out two full announcements of the 3 residence, and after the full two announcements, then we were going to -- was going to go off with a

5 stun stick, and the breach was going to go off of 6 that.

7 I understand that's the plan. Tell me 8 what actually happened.

9 A. So we came over there. As soon as we 10 landed in that position, Jasper Washington was there 11 with the shield. Announcements came out giving who 12 we were, we were there for the search warrant.

13 Those announcements came out.

14 Jasper Washington moved up to -- he 15 shielded the window that was just to the right, 16 which would have been to the east of the door that 17 was facing west. So he shielded that window. Kai 18 Hoskins, who was on the breach team, which was in 19 that other stack that was to the right, he moved up 20 with the ram. He moved up to basically key the door 21 or hit the door with the ram.

22 So once he got to the front door, I was 23 basically held back, so I'm approximately 24 probably -- if I had to guess, probably 6 feet, 25 7 feet away from the front door, giving him room to

become compromised. So in my mind, I was thinking he was going to have more likely another hit, one 3 hit at the most, to open up the door.

He hit the door. At this time, whenever

5 he was hitting the door, the other, which was -- it was another noise. A flash-bang, basically, that was going off, which is a nine-bang. So those go off for approximately a half second to a second.

One that is -- over the stun stick it's delayed 10 approximately a second to a second and a half,

11 delayed on whenever it goes off, whenever they

12 deploy it. So you have that second and a half that

13 that goes off. Then about every half to a second 14 after that -- they're not a hundred percent at that

time, it could be three-quarters, whatever, but it's 16 a half to a second -- there's nine bangs that go on.

17 So the first one, then there's eight additional.

They just randomly keep going consistently. So 19

those were going off at the same time as Kai was --20 as Hoskins was attempting to breach the door.

21 So whenever the door finally -- I seen 22 it come open, and then he just -- on the last one just kind of gave it a push to open it because it didn't fling open. 24

Because of the fact that the flash-bangs



71

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

Kerry Kubla

6

8

3

8

14

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

1 were still going off that were still over there, in 2 my mind we had adequate -- we still had somewhat of 3 a diversionary device that was drawing -- anybody in 4 there, it was drawing their attention to what was 5 going on out there. So attempted to make entry.

With the attempt, I made entry, 7 seeing -- through the threshold of the door I could see down the hallway, which was dark in there. 9 Obviously I had a light, so I illuminated the light 10 on my rifle going in.

11 As I wrapped into the door, my 12 unknown -- which the door opened up right to left. 13 My unknown corner would have been the corner to the 14 right.

15 So as I stepped in, I started to turn to 16 the right. There was a couch that was up against 17 the wall that protruded out into the room, so that 18 kind of stopped me from going down that wall to 19 clear that corner all the way. So whenever I came 20 in and hit that, I noticed that I was being engaged. 21 I was getting hit with -- hard to explain. I 22 couldn't feel, like, pain. I could just -- it was 23 almost like, I would say, a ghost smacking, like, my

1 So once I got over there to the edge of 2 the couch, obviously I was pushed in far enough the other operators behind me, the rest of the team, or 4 partial of the team -- not everybody, but partial, 5 the rest of the team that were making entry, they realized the same thing, that shots were coming from 7 that location, so they started engaging from 8 behind -- not from behind me, but from towards the opening of the door from where I was, because I kind of paralleled along that couch. 10

72

73

11 Once I got over to the edge of the 12 couch, I came to a stop position, like to a stationary position, and attempted to -- I was 13 thinking in my mind that I was trying to shoot 15 whenever I was moving across there, but, again, like I said, my mind is telling me to shoot, but I couldn't, like -- nothing was working, basically. 17

18 So whenever I got to the edge of the 19 couch, I got a stationary position, same thing, I 20 could see shots going; I can see flashes coming out 21 of the barrel. I was like, Hey, address this. You 22 know, raise my rifle.

23 Well, I couldn't see -- my rifle wasn't 24 coming up. So I looked down, and my arm was basically -- it was flopped like a fish, so it was

getting moved around. So I couldn't, like, get on 2 target.

24 arms and my rifle, like, out of my hand. So I was

25 trying to engage, but it was kind of like it was

So I kept pushing just past the couch, which extended probably, if I had to guess, maybe 6 feet maybe. It continued that way. It was kind 6 of like -- the shape of the couch was kind of like 7 an L-shape-type.

So as I pushed to the end of that, I was just -- I could tell that gunshots were going off at 10 this point.

11 Being inside, there's a lot different 12 sound than, like, flash-bangs going on outside. 13 It's a lot different.

Q. How is it different?

15 Well, if I dropped a firecracker right 16 here, you're probably going to -- you could probably compare that. It would sound different than if I 17 18 dropped one outside that door.

19 I could tell these were coming from 20 inside the residence. They were basically shots. I 21 could also see flashes coming from what -- I 22 couldn't -- I could see somebody curled up on a 23 couch over there facing that way, and I could see 24 flashes, a muzzle flash as the shots were coming 25 off.

hanging down. Because a shot had went through 2 there, broke the bone.

3 So I took my forearm and kind of put it under my rifle and lifted my rifle up to shoot, and then right like immediately after that something 6 dropped me to my knees.

So I think at that point I took a shot in my leg, which dropped me down. So I dropped down on my hands and knees.

Once I dropped down to my hands and my knees, stuff was still going on. And then eventually I heard -- obviously somebody yelled out "Tactical." That was reverb'd a couple of times by other officers yelling out, "Tactical, tactical."

Which on a tactical call, whenever we're going in, we stop where we're at and hold position and kind of slow everything down and go from there, do what we need to do.

19 So rather than -- typically, if we were making a CET, we would have bodies go to this room, 21 bodies go to this room, bodies go down the hall, go to this room, go to this room. We would flood the apartment, and literally -- an apartment that size, because it was so small, probably in, I would say, eight seconds probably you'd have operators in every

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

74 76 single room in there. 1 correct? 2 So a tactical call was called. I yelled 2 A. I was number one entry going into the 3 out that I was hit, and somebody started to drag me, residence. 3 because -- I can't remember who it was -- it might 4 Q. Yeah. 5 have been Levi Hancock saying, "Hey, drag him out. 5 A. Jasper was in front of me initially. 6 Drag him out." 6 Yes, ma'am. 7 So somebody grabbed me from behind me, 7 Q. Correct. Because Jasper was the one 8 and I was on my hands -- well, my knees and my hand. 8 that breached the door; correct? 9 My rifle was, like, laying down, but my hand was --9 No. Jasper Washington had a shield. He 10 it was kind of like my nub was on the ground. 10 was going to shield the window to the left of the 11 Somebody grabbed my vest, started door, to provide cover for -- if anybody was looking 12 pulling to pull me towards the door. Well, as he's out that window, to provide cover for the team and 13 pulling me, I'm trying to, like, hobble, and I'm 13 then for Kai Hoskins, who was basically breaching 14 200 pounds, and with my vest I'm probably 250, so he 14 the door. 15 wasn't very easily dragging me, but I was kind of, 15 So he stepped over to there, which was, 16 like, shuffling along. I was like, "Hey, just stand 16 in my lineup, like my entry, he was just in front of 17 me up, stand me up." 17 me. I was right behind him. 18 At that point Jiyair Brown was there. 18 Then on the other side would have been 19 He helped lift me, got me back to my feet. Then we 19 the first two, Brosnahan and Blake Dixon, would 20 walked out through the door, went back to the 20 have -- because they were takedown. Nobody was out 21 BearCat. 21 there to take down, so they would have stepped out. 22 22 Once we got back to the BearCat, then Then immediately behind them, I 23 we -- got me back there, asked where I was hit. I 23 believe -- I'd have to look at the lineup, but I 24 told him I was hit in my right arm, hit in my left believe Kai Hoskins and Levi Hancock were behind 25 arm. Well, I told him I was hit in my left arm 25 them, and that would leave room for Kai Hoskins to 75 77 1 because I could see it was broke. Told him I could come up -- after the announcements were given, he 2 feel pressure in my right arm, my right arm was hit, 2 would come up and then breach the door. 3 and then my leg was hit. 3 Just to confirm though, you were the 4 They basically got back to the BearCat first guy through the door, but you weren't 5 where medical was at, started pulling off 5 breaching the door; correct? everything, ripping stuff off, applying tourniquets, 6 A. Correct. put all the tourniquet stuff on, did all that. 7 You understand that -- we talked a And then I think whenever they were 8 little about it before, the brass wrap. 9 putting the tourniquet on my leg, whenever they were 9 Do you remember seeing -- strike that. 10 applying that, that might have -- either that or the 10 When you approached the door, the brass 11 difference of going from standing to going down to 11 wrap was physically -- you could see it; correct? 12 laying down, one or the other, blood issues or 12 I did not notice it, to be honest with 13 whatever, but I passed out for a minute there. 13 you, whenever I was up there, no. 14 And then basically after that I was back 14 Okay. And so it's talked about quite a 15 up, came to. Medical was already staged in the 15 bit in the CIRT report. What's a "tactical"? You 16 complex because they roll out with us. So they were talked about it a little bit in your dialogue, but 17 staged just to the south of us, at the front of the just to confirm, what's a "tactical"? 17 18 complex, at the front office. So they backed up, 18 On a CET entry, the only thing that

LEXITAS

19

20

21

stopped us from going in there was we have a

Whether -- anybody in the lineup that's going in,

we have -- overwhelmed with, like, say the structure

is too big, we run out of bodies and now we're at a

22 whether it is something is taking too long, whether

25 stalemate where we don't have bodies to fill the

tactical call. That can be made by anybody.

21

24

25

23 little bit.

A.

Q.

19 AMR backed up, loaded me onto the AMR unit, and then

So I'm going to go back and kind of --

So you were number one in the stack;

22 I'm going to go back and kind of parcel that up a

20 we left there, from there, left to UMC.

Kerry Kubla

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

rest of the rooms. We might see somebody that comes 2 out and runs. If we see anybody running in there, 3 we call a tactical, because we're not going to chase 4 somebody into an unknown room that they're running 5 into, in case they're either going in there to fight 6 or going in there to arm themselves. So we are not 7 going to run in there at that point. We'll call 8 tactical, go back to the front door, use a shield 9 there, and then slow it down at that point into --

So that tactical call can be called by 12 anybody that is in there depending on what they see 13 the need to call it for. Whenever that person calls 14 it, he would give out the reason for it. So it 15 might be, "Tactical, Tactical," and, "I seen a guy 16 running down the hallway to a back bedroom."

10 at that point it would be like a breach and hold.

17 Everybody else relays that and starts 18 saying "Tactical, Tactical" so it's heard throughout everybody that's in the lineup, the entry team and 20 also people on the outside, so they're all aware of 21 that.

22 Q. In this case was the entry taking too 23

long?

3

4

11

15

20

11

24 I don't feel -- myself, I don't feel 25 that we lost that -- that, I would say, surprise, or

1 Α. Well, it's a noise-flash diversionary 2 device. Basically it's something that's flashing 3 on. If something went off outside this window, I am -- everybody in here is going to focus their 5 attention over here. So if they're focused over there and we're coming in over there, that gives a 7 perfect distraction. That's one of the things, why 8 it's called a distract, is because it's going to divert their attention to something else as we're 10 coming in over here, which is also going to put that back on our side as far as having that going off 11 12

80

81

over there. 13 We wouldn't necessarily -- we wouldn't 14 drop and do a distract outside the front door unless it was a surround and callout because we don't want 15 16 to draw attention to where we are making entry at. So that's why it is put on another location, to draw 17 somebody's attention to that. It might be a back 18 bedroom window that's towards the back of the 20 residence, to get their attention out there. It 21 might be -- just to take their attention away from 22 where we're coming in at.

23 In this instance it was put through a 24 window that was right next to where Mr. Williams 25 was; correct?

79

1 maybe that overwhelming action, due to the fact that 2 we still had -- those flash-bangs were still going out there.

So to me, I felt the plan was that if we 5 went up there and Kai hit the door, if he called for 6 a shock and a shock came up, that shock would have 7 to come up, introduce a couple of rounds into that 8 lock, fall back, and if the door still came open, we 9 would still be good to go on a CET, to still make 10 that entry.

In my mind, that extra hit on the door 12 was going to be less time than having him step up, 13 shock that door, step back out, and then him step up 14 to hit the door again.

But you could have also then called a 16 tactical? It wouldn't necessarily have been to do 17 an explosive breach through the door; you guys could 18 have also just retreated; right? And then retreated 19 and then done a surround and callout; correct?

We could have. We would have had to 21 pull back all the way off the structure and down the 22 side. We couldn't stay up there and have eyes on 23 the door. I mean, we could, but ...

24 Is the purpose of the flash-bang to Q. 25 disorient and confuse?

Ultimately, yes. Unknown that he was going to be in that room. But it ended up, yes, being in that room is where that first one was deployed. Which was the first distract. It's one bang. That's inserted, it's pushed up to the ceiling, so it's against the ceiling up there, and 7 it's flashed off in a high corner of a room. 8

That's where we train putting it is in the high corner of the room where somebody -- the most likelihood, nobody is going to be up in that 11 corner, up in a room up there, and it's 12 approximately -- I'd say 8 feet or the height of the 13 ceiling is where it is up there. 14

It's also attached to that pole so it doesn't come down, it doesn't land on the ground, it doesn't emit any flash or anything that can cause fire, because it's up away from everything, up by the corner of the wall.

19 And then the additional, the nine-bang 20 flash-bang that was going off outside, was another 21 distraction that was diverting attention outside of 22 that side of the house, which would have been where the sliding door was that might have been related -the sliding door and the other window that was out 25 there, that was outside.



15

17

Kerry Kubla

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

1 But the purpose of these devices, the nine-bang or the other one, it's also to disorient whoever's inside the apartment; correct?

4 Yeah. I would say it's going to 5 disorient you, yes.

6 Q. And, Kerry, when you talked a little bit 7 earlier about kind of coming through the residence 8 and, you know, what was going on with your arm and 9 how you felt you were being hit by a ghost, is it 10 fair for me to say that it took you a few seconds to

11 figure out what was going on?

12 It took -- I mean, I would say we're 13 talking like a second to realize. I mean, literally 14 within one to two steps of going through that 15 threshold, the doorway. It wasn't like I entered a

16 room and went all the way across the room. It was 17 literally within one to two steps that I was -- I

18 knew I was getting engaged by something over there

19 And then I could -- like I said, I could see that

20 the flashes were going. I just couldn't address

21 that. I was trying to address it, but I couldn't

22 address it at that point. I kept moving across 23 there, across the couch, another probably maybe

24 4 more feet to get to the edge of that, to get to

25 the edge of the couch.

And you would agree with me that you 1

2 have SWAT training and ability to respond that is

84

85

3 far in excess of what a normal person would have;

correct?

5 A. Yes. 100 percent.

6 Q. Okay. And just to kind of loop back

7 again, we talked about it a little bit before, but,

again, part of the -- you talked about the diversionary element of these devices. But, again,

it is also to disorient and confuse; correct? 10

A.

11

12 Q. So that you can overwhelm them; correct?

13 A.

14 Q. Do you think that the delay in getting

through the door caused essentially a failure to 15

overwhelm and instead just disoriented and confused?

17 I think it would have given us more time 18 to get through the door. Obviously if we didn't

have those going off and the door was still getting

20 hit multiple times and there was nothing else,

21 somebody might have been coming to the door at that

22 point.

23 I think it could disorient somebody in

there. I mean, it is -- you know, it's a loud

25 audible in there. Outside it's not quite as loud

83

Q. And your ability even within one or two 2 steps, which is a few seconds, like, that's also

based on your years and years and years of training

as a SWAT officer; correct?

5 As far as how far I went, or --Α.

6 Q. No. As far as how long it took you to 7 assess what was going on inside the apartment.

Well, whenever we make an entry on a

9 CET, it's a very dynamic entry into the -- into the 10 threshold. So whenever you're making that move in

11 through the threshold, it's very dynamic in nature.

12 We're moving very fast.

13 And then we are moving -- from there 14 we're moving at a pace that we can fire from. So we 15 train that we can shoot as we're moving. Obviously 16 you can only move so fast whenever you're doing 17 that. But you're not -- you're not just a walking

18 pace. It's a little bit faster than a walking pace 19 going through there.

20 So my question was a little bit 21 different. You kind of hit on it a little bit. But

22 part of your ability to walk in, to assess, to 23 figure out, to respond, that's also based on your 24 years of training as a SWAT officer; correct?

Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

13

14

15

because it's outside the residence.

But that's what they're designed for is to disorient and ...

Q. Kerry, I'm going to read you a section

5 of the CIRT report. And it says, "If after

notice" -- it talks about the legal elements for

knock-and-announce. And it says, "If after notice

of his authority and purpose an officer is refused

admittance." It talks about kind of like what the

10 purpose of what knock-and-announce is.

11 Can you tell me what Mr. Williams did to 12 refuse admittance while the door was closed?

A. While the door was closed?

Q. Correct.

A. We had no -- we weren't aware that he was in there at that point, so he did nothing.

16 17 Okay. And do you think there was

18 sufficient time between the announcement and when

19 the side window's broken to allow Mr. Williams to

20 get up and walk to the front door to allow the 21 officers admittance, including yourself?

22 It might have. But he could also call

23 out. So it doesn't take very long, if you're laying

there, to say, Hey -- you know, to say anything in 24

25 there. Hey, I'm in here. Who are you?

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

86 88 1 There was nothing of any of that. 1 correct? 2 And like I said before, somebody else 2 A. To be honest with you -- step back on 3 came out and approached before we went in there that 3 there - one, the detectives, I'm not a hundred got -- they came out and had enough time to come to percent that it was a homicide detective that said their door or stick their head out. 5 they had PC. Just because I want to be clear on I But they weren't inside the apartment 6 don't know a hundred percent it was a homicide 7 like Mr. Williams was; correct? 7 detective. It could have been a gang detective, because they were involved with the investigation 8 A. Yeah. 9 Q. Because you even pointed -- you even there as well. 10 pointed out a little bit earlier that how it's going Q. 10 We can just say "detective" for the to sound and feel inside the apartment is going to 11 record. 12 be very different from what it is outside the 12 A. Okay. The other was the items that were 13 apartment. 13 sought to be found, that they were looking for, I'm 14 A. Yes. It wouldn't be as loud in a not a hundred percent, so I'd have to see that again 15 neighboring apartment. They are going to hear to see. But I believe it was for a small-caliber 16 something going on out there, but they're not going 16 firearm and for clothing. 17 to hear it as much as somebody inside there if we're And so I'm going to read to you some 17 18 hitting on that door and the stun stick that was case law that's cited in the CIRT report, and it 18 19 introduced in there going off. talks about the standard for what is a reasonable 20 And so I'm going to -- I'm going to read 20 amount of time based on the items sought. 21 to you part of what was quoted in the CIRT report 21 What it says is, "After 15 to 20 seconds 22 about a reasonable amount of time based on the items 22 without a response, officers could fairly have 23 sought. suspected that Banks would flush away the cocaine if 23 24 Because we've gone over the type of they remained reticent." That's from page 133 of 25 arrest warrant. I just want to make sure I 25 the CIRT report. 87 89 understand correctly -- sorry, not arrest warrant, 1 In this case you guys weren't trying to search warrant -- that this was a search warrant for 2 execute a search warrant for any items that you were 3 items. concerned could be destroyed or flushed away; 4 correct? You looked at the warrant itself; 4 correct? 5 5 A. Correct. 6 A. Yes, ma'am. 6 Q. As we sit here today, do you have any 7 Because you were part of the recon team, knowledge or memory or any position on how long you 7 think was between the first announcement and when 8 so you knew which items were sought out? 9 A. Yes, ma'am. 9 entry was made into the unit? 10 Based on my understanding of your prior 10 A. How long it was? testimony, you thought -- this was also kind of like 11 Q. Uh-huh. 12 an arrest warrant too; you thought you were going to 12 I would say six to -- I thought it was 13 find suspects and detain them. Correct? nine seconds whenever I viewed something before. 14 A. Yes. 14 But around there. 15 15 Q. You thought that, based on what the Q. In your professional opinion as a SWAT 16 homicide officers had told you, was that they didn't officer, do you think that that was a reasonable 17 have PC for this incident, but they did have PC for 17 amount of time? 18 other incidents, so they were going to be arrested; 18 Based on the circumstances and what we 19 correct? 19 were going for -- it wasn't that we were -- I Yes. 20 A. 20 understand that we were going for -- the search

23 there was nothing in the warrant that you would have been concerned about being able to be destroyed or in some other way altered before entry in the unit;

23 would have been the fact that there were known firearms that were associated to the residence.
25 They were known to be armed. Not only armed, but

21 warrant was for property that could have been, you

know, the evidence. The other mitigating factors



22

And so let's kind of parcel out that, in

22 terms of just the warrant itself for the items,

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

90 92 1 they were known to have shot, you know, possibly 1 an arrest warrant; correct? 2 from that residence in illegal shootings. And, you 2 A. Yes. 3 know, that they used a firearm to basically fire at 3 Q. And if I understand your dialogue 4 somebody and commit, you know, a homicide. 4 before, it's your position that six to nine seconds 5 So those mitigating factors I think is 5 was a reasonable amount of time; correct? 6 where part of that comes into the effect of how long 6 A. For that size of a structure, I would 7 it takes. 7 say. Okay. So that's a yes? 8 I know, like, talking U.S. v. Banks, 8 Q. 9 you're talking about somebody that was in there for 9 A. Yes. 10 selling drugs or whatnot and he was in the shower 10 Q. Okay. Prior to serving the search and there's -- yeah, that time might not be. warrant, had you received any training about what 11 12 But not knowing that he's in the shower, elements you had to comply with under both U.S. law 13 on a small apartment, to be honest with you, and Nevada law for a proper knock-and-announce 13 depending on the size of the apartment, it doesn't 14 entry? 15 take very long at all to come and answer the door. 15 A. What requirements? 16 We have people that go up -- detectives 16 Q. Yes. 17 that go to doors, and whenever they do buys on 17 We give out two full announcements, and targets, the person is coming to the door within 18 it's an articulated amount of time, a reasonable 19 three to five seconds a lot of times. So that's 19 amount of time for somebody to come to the door --20 somebody knocking, them coming to the door, and then 20 Q. Okay. And -- sorry. 21 bringing them in to sell them narcotics. A. 21 -- or refusal. Unless that person --22 So depending on the size of the unless somebody basically comes out and they refuse 23 structure, which this wasn't an overwhelmingly large by running back in. You know, they see the door, 24 structure. It was a very small apartment. So I they open the door, they close the door, and they 25 guess to what you're saying, I don't know that it 25 refuse. At that time it's a refusal. 91 93 was too short of an amount of time. So is it your position that, based on 2 your training leading up to this incident on 2 I think that's subject to the mitigating 3 factors and our articulation as far as what all is January 10, 2022, that two what you would call "full 4 announcements" meets the standard for the elements involved. 5 I know typically the search warrant for knock-and-announce? 6 is -- like you're saying, it's for evidence that 6 A. The two full announcements and then the 7 we're looking for. However, the evidence that's in amount of time it took after that, after the 8 there is related to subjects that are known to announcements. shoot, that have firearms, and they're able to shoot 9 Well, what if there's no amount of time? 10 back at us. 10 Do you think that two full announcements is 11 Q. So this warrant was being served like an 11 sufficient? 12 arrest warrant; correct? 12 If that time was adequate for the size 13 A. That somebody was in there? of the structure and everything that was going on, 14 Q. Yes. 14 two full announcements and then making entry, 15 In my mind -- like I said, I don't know 15 there's -- we're also not making -- those A. 16 a hundred -- my mind, they had probable cause for 16 announcements continue to go on. So even after 17 the two suspects, and we didn't know if those 17 those first two announcements, it's not two full 18 suspects were in there or they were not in there. 18 announcements and then everything is stopped at that

LEXITAS

21

22

point. We're still continuing to make

police department, search warrant."

20 announcements: "Police department, search warrant;

23 that door was opened -- which that's where I think I

25 think the door came open, possibly might have

said it was six seconds to possibly nine seconds. I

Everybody even up until that point that

members.

Q.

And then there were other subjects

So unknown on who all was going to be in

But this warrant was being served like

20 involved that were known to also be affiliated, like

gang affiliation, and known to carry firearms.

23 there. It was just a flophouse for a lot of gang

19

21

22

24

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

94 96 1 been -- and I'd have to review again to see a entering the unit, do you think that was reasonable 2 hundred percent, but I believe that whenever the and in compliance with controlling case law? 3 door actually opened was around nine seconds, 3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 4 because it was six seconds for the starting of that 4 Go ahead and answer. 5 and then nine seconds for the door to open, and at 5 THE WITNESS: I think with what we had 6 that time we're still giving announcements. I'm 6 as far as the factors, I don't think it was too 7 giving an announcement before I walk through the 7 short -- you know, that it was not long enough or 8 door. Everybody else in the line is giving 8 too short of an amount of time, just from my opinion 9 announcements, the same thing. And as we're going from serving warrants. 10 in, we're still giving those announcements. 10 BY MS. MURPHY: 11 And so let me ask the question a little 11 Q. So it's your opinion that the amount of 12 bit differently then. time between the announcements and the entry into 13 Prior to the search warrant, what the unit was reasonable based on controlling law; is 13 14 training had you received about what elements you 14 that correct? 15 had to comply with under U.S. and Nevada law for 15 A. Yes. 16 proper knock-and-announce entry? 16 MS. MURPHY: Actually, before I get into 17 To give our attention -- to state who we 17 some of the CIRT conclusions, were you placed on 18 are, what the address was, to give our attention of administrative leave following this incident? 18 19 why we're there, serving a search warrant. We 19 THE WITNESS: I was off that time, yes, 20 obviously identify ourselves, and then give a 20 ma'am. 21 reasonable amount of time for somebody to answer the BY MS. MURPHY: 21 22 door or refuse. 22 Q. Okay. How long were you off for? 23 Q. And so what was your training about what 23 I don't know exactly -- I mean, I was 24 a reasonable amount of time was? 24 off for a year. But I'm not aware -- because 25 I don't want you to walk me through what administrative leave, I was also off because of 95 97 1 you consider it based on the circumstances here. 1 injuries. So I don't know what the parameter was 2 But what I'm asking more specifically is what where you'd be off at this time but I wasn't 3 training were you provided to say this is what a 3 because -reasonable amount of time is? 4 Q. 4 Let me ask the question a little 5 Just going over the overall factors. If 5 differently. 6 the house is very large, it's going to need a little 6 I came back a year after. Right at a 7 bit more time. 7 year after the incident is when I came back to work. 8 When it is, what time it is, what time Okay. And what is -- you kind of talked 8 9 about it when you walked through it. of the day it is. 9 10 Whether -- I would say the structure, 10 Can you walk me through what injuries 11 the size of the structure, adds a big factor of it, 11 you sustained? 12 Yes. I took a gunshot wound to my left arm, the middle of my forearm, which shattered the 13 radius bone on my arm. That came out the top of my 14 arm, which tore all the tendons -- dislocated or 15

12 where somebody could be deep in a bedroom if it's a 13 five-bedroom house, or it might be upstairs. It 14 might be a two-story residence. It's going to take 15 longer. So you're not going to use that same amount 16 of time on that as you are a one-bedroom studio 17 Segal Suite or something. That time is going to be

18 a lot shorter. 19 Q. And how did you receive this training, 20 Kerry?

21 Just through training through our TSD 22 section, our training coordinators, which would have

23 been senior officers that are in our division.

24 And as we sit here today, do you think 25 that the amount of time that the team waited before

tore all the tendons that were in that arm. 16 17 And then the right arm I was shot, which 18 was probably about 6 to 8 inches down from my wrist. 19 That round entered my arm, and it traveled up my arm 20 to the rear of my tricep, the upper of my tricep. 21 That one caused just a lot of -- I know it caused a 22 lot of nerve damage going up that far, and the 23 swelling was obviously, like, enormous because of it going up there. That bullet stayed lodged in the

back of my arm.

6

Kerry Kubla

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

1 The bullet that was in this arm (indicating), part of it is still lodged in -- it could be all of it. I don't know. Just from an 4 X-ray, you can see that the round is still inside 5 there.

6 I took a round that was through my inner 7 thigh, just -- I don't know how far down that is. 8 But my inner thigh, it entered there, and it came 9 out the outer of that leg, which caused damage to 10 muscle and tendons that were in there. But it went 11 through there. That came out the outside of my leg, 12 which ultimately hit my firearm holster, and it also 13 came -- I think because there was a wallet in my 14 pocket, like a metal money clip, and the bullet 15 went, it hit that and went through it. So whenever 16 it hit that, it basically shrap -- basically the 17 bullet broke up into pieces, so there's a lot of 18 pieces that are still inside there in my hip and leg 19 area that are small fragments that they can't go in 20 there -- it would cause more damage to try and go in

22 Same with the left arm.

21 there and fish them out.

3

6

7

18

23 The right arm they were able to go in 24 through the back of my tricep like six months or so 25 after that and make an incision, because it was

1

So I have a lot of nerve damage. Obviously they connected all the tendons back 3 together on this arm, on the left arm. 4

Bone, there's a rod, titanium rod, 5 that's in there from my wrist to my forearm crease, which is -- I think it's around 9 inches, something like that. So that's in there for the radius.

7 8 And then the right arm has significant 9 amount of nerve damage. I lost the use of my -- not the use, but my index finger has no -- I would say 10 no feeling. I could stick it on a stove right now,

and I wouldn't -- I think there's a superhero that 13 had that, or some people have that. But I've burned

it multiple times not knowing. Just later in the 14

evening I'll find it's got a big huge ball on there

because I blistered it because I set it on something

17 too hot. So there's no feeling in this finger (indicating). It's kind of dead. Like, smack it 18

with a hammer, it doesn't -- and it doesn't -- and 19

20 the way the tendon is, it doesn't operate -- like, I 21 can't make a complete fist with it.

22 So due to that fact, I primarily

23 switched hands to my left hand to be able to operate 24 with that hand as my primary firearm with my pistol.

I can still shoot with this hand, but I

25

101

100

close enough to the surface to make an incision to 2 extract that.

I was hit once in the chest, which was probably a couple inches above the middle of my 5 chest, on the left side, but that was stopped by my ballistic vest.

I was hit on my left side, where I had a 8 knife that was in a holster that was on there, and 9 that hit off my vest and hit the holster and the 10 knife and broke the knife, which was just in the 11 threshold of the door, after -- at least whenever I 12 was walking out, whenever we were going out, I 13 remember seeing it on the ground there in the 14 doorway. And pictures afterwards from the CI that 15 came out there to take all the photographs, I seen 16 it laying there. It was actually hit and broke in 17 half.

Then I had a round that hit the front of 19 my rifle, the very tip of my rifle. It hit straight 20 on with that and indented that. Didn't cause it to 21 break, but it indented -- you can see where it 22 indented the front of that from deflecting off the 23 very front of my rifle.

24 Those were the ones that I had from 25 there.

1 can't use my index finger. I use my middle finger. 2 So I can still shoot my rifle with my middle finger.

I can shoot with my left hand as well, but I just

transitioned my primary firearm to my left hand. 5 Those are pretty much - a lot of nerve

6 damage.

7 Hip area, same thing. A lot of -- I 8 don't know if it's like a type of an arthritis or nerve injury stuff, but same thing. Like, that -- I 10 guess it's -- hard to explain nerve damage, but it's like an electric burn. So, like, my leg feels -- my thigh just feels sunburnt all the time, like a 12 13 burning -- burning feeling. 14

Both arms are the same way.

15 These two -- my index finger and my middle finger and my thumb, same thing. Those burn all the time. There's no -- and there's slight --17 18 not as much as the middle finger. I can still feel 19 on these. But it's very -- it's almost like if you

20 wake up and your arm is asleep, it's got that

feeling on the other fingers. That's always there.

22 It never goes away.

> Q. How many times were you shot?

Technically, a total, I guess, six times

25 I was hit. Whether it be me, the tip of my rifle,

23

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

102 104 1 rounds through the wall, through the ceiling, to the 1 that was six times that I was hit. 2 How many times was your body hit? 2 upstairs apartment, through the -- both walls, and 3 My body was it -- well, three that 3 just continued to shoot. So -- and it was a -- you 4 entered. I had one that was in my chest, but that know, continual shots going off. So it wasn't 5 didn't enter. But I had three that entered. One 5 something that he stopped. 6 through this arm, one through this arm, and then one 6 He wasn't, like, a marksman; right? 7 through my leg (indicating). Like even how you're describing it, it's a spray of 8 Okay. And did you -- have you received 8 bullets; correct? any psychological or mental health treatment related 9 No, he doesn't -- well, obviously, I 10 to this incident? 10 mean, most people in that -- they're not accountable 11 for where their rounds are going. So disregard for Α. Oh, yeah. For the full year or whatever 12 I was off, yeah. I was seen -anybody that's in the, you know, apartment that's 13 Q. Are you still -- sorry. neighboring or the apartment upstairs. Which I know 14 -- immediately. Α. rounds went through both walls, that wall, the 15 No, go ahead. 15 ceiling, up into the neighboring apartment. 16 Q. Are you still undergoing any of that? 16 But there was no -- it was just a 17 No. I still have the ability to. I 17 continual shots going off. 18 just don't really find -- I haven't had anything But I can't attest to what his thinking 18 that's came up that I need to see anybody about or was other than, you know, he was -- he might have 20 talk to about. So ... 20 been thinking that he's just going out with a fight, 21 Q. Okay. And then is it your position that 21 or he might have -- I don't know. 22 you believe that Mr. Williams knew he was firing on 22 Been confused and not know who was police officers? 23 coming through the door; correct? 24 A. I don't know what his thoughts were. 24 I have no idea of what his capacity was. 25 25 He could be blind or deaf for all I'm aware of. I I mean, we were -- everybody that was 103 105 there was yelling, "Police department, search 1 have no idea. 2 warrant." 2 Q. And so that's actually an interesting 3 3 I had -- you know, my ballistic vest has point. I'd like to ask you about that. "Police" and "SWAT" patches on the front. It's got 4 As we sit here today, do you have any 5 a "Police SWAT" patch on the shoulder. We have a 5 knowledge of who Mr. Williams is, or was? 6 helmet. We stand out pretty well, I would say, with 6 Leading up to, no. 7 the gear that we wear. 7 Not leading up to the incident. 8 8 Like, so since the incident have you And, again, like I said, we were --9 everybody that was coming in that door -- I'm sure 9 come to learn anything about Mr. Williams? 10 pretty much everybody, because that's normally how 10 No. I don't dig into people. I 11 we train -- we yell out "Police department, search don't -- it's not in my -- you know, I'm not going 11 12 warrant" before we make entry into the front door or 12 to look into or dig into anything. 13 other doors going into other rooms back in the 13 I knew what it was at that time. And --14 residence. I don't know. I'm just not -- there's nothing for 15 Q. To confirm, though -- and I appreciate me to dig into --15 16 that you've made that distinction. 16 Q. Okay. Let me ask it a little bit 17 To confirm, as you sit here today, 17 differently. 18 you're saying, Hey, I don't know if he knew or not; 18 As we sit here today, do you have any 19 that would be speculation on my part? knowledge about whether Mr. Williams was or wasn't 20 I have no idea what his thought process associated with the crimes that were associated with 21 21 was, other than that whenever he was shooting, he these search warrants? 22 was -- he didn't stop shooting. He kept shooting 22 A. To be honest, I have no idea.

23

24

25

Q.

A.

Q.

So you have no idea at all?

Okay. Have you been involved in any

Q.

A.

24

25

23 until, you know, he was stopped.

Until he was dead; correct?

But he didn't stop shooting. He shot

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

106 108 other officer-involved shootings? to work basically. So they'd run me through 2 Not as a shooter, no, ma'am. scenarios before I came back. And then continuing Q. 3 How have you been involved? from then, you know, we train all the time. 4 A. Like, witness officer. I mean, we've 4 So I couldn't tell you exactly this 5 been in other shootings. As a witness officer, 5 training's for this incident or is not for this where I was on-scene. I didn't shoot, but I incident. I know that after the incident, whenever 7 witnessed, you know, whenever somebody was shot. 7 I came back, those were specifically -- not every And what were those other incidents? 8 one of them, but some of them were related the same 9 A. We've had a few. We had one that was a way. You know, related. Kind of like the same. 10 hostage rescue, a vehicle hostage rescue, where one 10 Obviously it wasn't completely reenacted, but that of our sniper units ended up shooting. That was -kind of enactment there is kind of the training we 11 12 I can't give you the exact timeline. It was 12 do on a day-to-day basis. 13 probably a year or so ago or a couple of years ago. 13 Okay. And I'm going to review some of 14 So was it before or after this incident? 14 the CIRT conclusions, and I want to ask your 15 Because we're -- what are we? Two years out? 15 opinions about them. 16 Yeah. You know, I'm not a hundred 16 In terms of listing off the unknown 17 percent on that, to be honest with you. I think it 17 factors related to the Nellis apartment, they was after. I think it was after. I think the last included who was actually staying at the apartment; 18 19 one was after that, after the incident. if there were children, elderly, or vulnerable 20 We had one, same thing, in Laughlin, 20 individuals present inside the apartment. 21 where, you know, incident officer -- I didn't shoot, 21 Were you able to -- you were able to 22 but I was right there, where there was a guy that 22 identify those items related to the Jimmy Durante 23 basically shot at security in Laughlin, robbed a 23 apartment; correct? 24 casino, shot at officers, was in a barricade in the 24 A. Who was in there? 25 vehicle. 25 Q. Yeah. 107 109 We were out there. He came running 1 A. I don't know who was in there. I can't 2 towards us, and officers shot that were literally, 2 confirm that this is --

like, right in front of me and to the side of me, and a sniper ultimately took a shot as well that 5 stopped him. 6

But there were officers in front of me. I had no shot because of where they were located at. I don't know. There's multiple times

where I witnessed somebody or, you know, been there. Not where I've actually fired, though. 10

11 Q. Have you been named in any other 12 lawsuits?

13 A. No, ma'am.

14 Okay. Did you receive any retraining 15 following this incident? Related to this incident.

16 I'm sure you've had training since this incident.

17 But related to this incident have you received any 18 retraining?

19

7

8

Yeah, I received training. We receive 20 training all the time. But I received -- coming 21 back I received training. After the interview we go

22 through a training process and we run through

23 different scenarios. Some are based somewhat the

24 same. Like, I don't know what they are. They run 25 me through scenarios before I, you know, come back

3 Sorry. Let me ask it a little bit Q. 4 differently then.

5 In terms of your recon - not the Nellis apartment, but the Jimmy Durante apartment, the one you were tasked with doing recon on -- you were able to identify whether there were children, elderly, or vulnerable individuals within the apartment; 9

10 correct?

11 A. I could go off what I seen at that time. 12 So the time that I had out there, yes, I could

confirm that there was a child related -- well,

14 confirm that there was a child related, one, because

there was children-type toy stuff that was directly 15

in front of that, like bicycles and stuff like that,

17 that there would be a child in there.

18 As far as the occupants in there, other than what we knew of as far as, like, who was 19 related to that residence, I can't say a hundred 21 percent, like, yeah, I know that this male, this 22 female, is inside this residence or, you know, a

23 hundred percent in there.

24 And I don't think you could at any place really a hundred percent, unless you really had eyes



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

110 112 on, positively identified the suspect, and you know 1 even if they're small errors, after a while the 2 they're going in there and you don't lose track of 2 number of small errors itself becomes voluminous and 3 that or lose sight of that until an operation was becomes its own error. performed. 4 Do you understand that concept? 5 Q. Give me just one second. 5 A. Yes. 6 I'll read you the specific language from 6 Q. Okay. And so here, the way I read this, 7 the CIRT conclusion. although I'm not the CIRT conclusion -- and I really "While the SWAT section manual contained 8 am asking for your opinion -- it says -- it says 9 verbiage allowing for SWAT operators to conduct a specifically there were numerous amount of unknown 10 CET for property when there is a threat of an armed 10 factors. 11 and dangerous subject, it was not appropriate given 11 So it's not each individual factor on 12 the amount of unknowns associated with 12 its own; it's that there were all these unknown 13 Apartment 1125. There were numerous amount of 13 factors. 14 unknown factors, to include who was actually staying 14 Do you have a position or an opinion on 15 in the apartment and if there were children, 15 that? 16 elderly, or vulnerable individuals present inside 16 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 17 the apartment. SWAT's decision to serve the 350 17 Go ahead and answer. 18 South Nellis Boulevard search warrant as a CET was a 18 MS. MURPHY: That was a terrible-worded 19 policy/training failure and not within standardized 19 question. It's a good objection. I don't know how 20 LVMPD tactics, training, and policy." 20 else to frame it. 21 And so we looked over a little bit 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't 22 earlier the photos that were drawn out of the plan. 22 know -- like, the CIRT, whenever they're putting out 23 And in fact in terms of elderly, children, who was their stuff, I don't know that they have the 24 associated with the apartment, those items were all 24 information or they knew these other factors that 25 written into that -- into the -- what do you call 25 were in there as far as, like, the suspects that 111 113 1 it? 1 were stopped from there that were coming and going. 2 A. Information page. 2 I don't know what all they had prior to that. 3 Q. 3 BY MS. MURPHY: Information page. 4 4 Q. A. Yes, ma'am. Kerry, I'll represent to you they had 5 Q. But, in fact, after having now reviewed 5 everything. everything, those were actually not known. You 6 No, I understand that. After the 7 understand that; right? 7 incident was -- after the incident took place and 8 Α. Yes. Hundred percent. everything, they had all that. 9 Q. Okay. And so do you agree or disagree 9 But I'm aware that they -- you know, if 10 with CIRT's conclusion that to serve the CET was a 10 they said that was a policy failure and that is -policy/training failure related in part to the you know, we need more than that, then that's what 12 amount of unknowns actually associated with this 12 it is. We're going to have to add those. 13 apartment? 13 Okay. And I guess I'm asking, what's 14 your opinion on that? Do you agree or disagree? It's hard to say that because I don't 14 15 know where it says that we can't do a controlled 15 I think a lot of factors can change that 16 entry if there's an elderly person in there or if 16 to where you wouldn't need all of those factors 17 there's a child in there. 17 listed for a controlled entry. 18 Well, and the way that I read this, 18 If we had a homicide suspect or whatever 19 Kerry, is a little bit different. It wasn't just 19 the case was, we had that suspect that was in a 20 one of those things. It was a variety of unknown 20 place and we didn't know all this, you know, 21 factors, to the point where it becomes, like -- I 21 different pertinent information that was related to

22 don't know if you're familiar with appellate law.

23 They talk about, like, one error by itself, even if

24 it doesn't affect the outcome of the case, isn't a

25 big deal. But when you have a variety of errors,

22 that, I guess that's where it comes down to I think

before -- I don't want to say seat time again, but

something the detectives probably need to follow up

23 that we definitely need more -- like I said

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

114 116 more on as far as on their investigation to be able 1 found in their mind, it was not sufficient. So I to list that stuff to say, Hey, we have this, that. 2 2 don't have anything. Which is kind of what we do now. We 3 And then it goes on to say, 4 have -- if they don't have seat time out there, if 4 "Additionally, deployment of the CET contradicted they don't have certain things that are locked in 5 the knock-and-announce principles. The CET is place that, Hey, we know that this person comes and intended in part to surprise and overwhelm occupants 7 goes. He's been seen in here -- a lot of times inside the residence. Yet, the intent of 8 we'll know, Hey, we have him in there right now. knock-and-announce is to provide an opportunity to 9 He's in there. We have a positive ID on him. We comply before a forced entry is made; thus, is a 10 seen him enter. We've had the place, you know, 10 policy and training failure and not within LVMPD 11 under surveillance, and they're doing the search 11 standards." 12 warrant at that time. Those I think are the things 12 I asked you about it a little bit 13 that we've changed as far as, you know, making sure 13 before, but I'm going to ask you about it now more 14 that all that stuff is done and not just, you know, specifically. 14 15 pushed up on other -- doing a warrant, especially 15 Do you have an opinion on CIRT's 16 due to the fact this was a CET. So that wouldn't be 16 conclusion that CET contradicts knock-and-announce 17 done again from that. 17 principles? 18 And so how it's done today is different 18 A. I think it -- depending on the timeline 19 than how it was done at this point in time back in 19 that's there, I wouldn't say that it contradicts it. 20 2022; right? 20 But depending on the timeline and what the 21 A. Yes. I'd say that we're much more 21 articulable facts are there, I think that what 22 thorough in vetting that and pushing back on units they're going with is a no-knock warrant -- you 23 to have that stuff done. If they don't have know, if we did a no-knock warrant on that, then 24 something in there, then we push it back. Hey, you 24 that would bypass the whole thing. So --25 need to get this. You need more time out there. 25 Absolutely. But they didn't go through 115 117 You need a PID. You might need to do a phone pin. the steps of getting a no-knock warrant here, did 2 There's different things that we might push back. 2 they? Not that I'd push back. That's above my 3 3 A. No, they did not. No. Q. 4

pay grade. But I know that we do vet that stuff a lot more now.

- 6 Q. And to your knowledge is that change in 7 how it's vetted, is that related in part or at all to this incident?
- 9 A. I'm sure part of it is, yes.
- Q. 10

5

- 11 A. That, and I think the overall -- the
- 12 world, the way everything's going now, it's not --
- 13 everything is getting pushed back as far as some of
- 14 that stuff, where we're not doing the same things.
- 15 And I'm going to ask you about
- 16 Conclusion 3.8, just part of it. I'll read it to 17 you.

18 "CIRT found that under the conditions 19 present here, six seconds was insufficient to allow 20 occupants time to answer the door, let alone submit 21 to the search."

22 Do you have any position on that 23 statement?

24 Nah. If that's what they found, that's 25 what they're assigned to do, and that's what they

- Okay. And you agree, though, that CET
- is intended in part to surprise and overwhelm
- occupants inside the residence; correct?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8

16

17

- Okay. And you agree too that the
- purpose, though, of knock-and-announce is to allow
- somebody the opportunity to comply before forced
- 11 entry is made; correct?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. And do you understand and see the
- 14 contradiction between surprise and overwhelm and
- 15 allow opportunity to comply?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I see that there's a 18 19 difference of somebody not coming up to comply. But 20 complying can be different than coming to the door 21 and answering the door.

22 We've done CET warrants. I've done 23 hundreds of them. And I've went into a residence where there's a guy with a gun sitting on his lap and his hands are up, put his hands behind his head.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	118		120
1	He obviously didn't come to the door and	1	off and they were just hitting that door and hitting
2	answer the door. But he was armed, he was sitting	2	the door and hitting the door and there was nothing
3	there, and we made a controlled entry tactic on	3	else going on that would have been able to do that,
4	that, and we went in. That's happened numerous	4	I would say, yeah, that might have been a time to
5	times.	5	shut it down at that point.
6	Sitting on the coffee table there's a	6	Q. Do you think that Mr. Williams'
7	firearm. On their lap is a firearm. In the seat	7	constitutional rights were violated?
8	cushion next to them. But I've taken people into	8	MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.
9	custody that had a firearm in their hand. They	9	Go ahead and answer.
10	weren't pointing it at us, but they had it in their	10	THE WITNESS: No, I do not, ma'am. I
11	hand.	11	received multiple gunshots before anybody even fired
12	So I think that they are still at	12	on him. So I don't know that we acted in a way
13	that point they're still complying; they're just	13	that we acted on him other than that.
14	caught, overwhelmed. But they're caught there, and	14	BY MS. MURPHY:
15	they're still complying with us stating we're the	15	Q. And then in terms of the recommendation,
16	police and we're there serving a search warrant.	16	we talked about it quite a bit, but I'll just kind
17	BY MS. MURPHY:	17	of I'll close the loop.
18	Q. I'm going to read you from 3.9, part of	18	From the CIRT report, Conclusion 9.6, it
19	it.	19	was a recommendation that CET to only be used when a
20	"Officers immediately lost the elements	20	no-knock search warrant is approved by the LVMPD and
21	of a CET of utilizing speed to surprise and	21	has judicial preapproval.
22	1 1	22	As we sit here today you understand that
23	3	23	that has been a policy change related to this
24	prior to entering the residence."	24	incident; correct?
25	Do you think that do you agree or	25	A. Yes, ma'am.
	119		121
1	diagona a with that statements	4	O Oliou Dougus think and think a sold have

3

4

15

23

1 disagree with that statement?

2 A. Well, it's hard to -- I mean, it's hard

3 to -- I don't think that we were stuck there overly

4 long or for too long.

Q. So is it fair for me to say then that

6 you disagree with that conclusion?

7 A. Well, it kind of goes back and forth.

8 Because you have one turn they're saying we're out

9 there -- we're not giving enough time, but on the

10 other hand we're going too fast. So what is it?

11 Are they saying that those knocks on the door, those

12 are breaches because we haven't opened the door yet?

13 Or are we able to go up there and hit that ram 15

14 times on the door and then they're going to say,

15 Well, that's too long?

16

25

Well, it took 15 seconds to hit the

17 thing 15 times. We didn't open it, but we opened it

18 on that 15th time. That would give more time. But

19 did that leave us out of there?

20 My mind was -- to that fact as far as

21 having that time on our side still was because we

22 were still -- distracts were still going off at that

23 time, and I thought that we were still providing

24 that diversion out there.

Now, if those distracts weren't going

Q. Okay. Do you think anything could havebeen done differently here?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.

Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: I mean, a lot of things

6 could have been done differently. I don't disagree

7 with the plan of conducting a controlled entry on

8 this residence due to the factors. So I don't think

9 that could have been changed as far as that.

IO BY MS. MURPHY:

11 Q. Well, to be clear, LVMPD disagrees with

12 you, because they have in fact changed the policy.

3 You understand that; right?

14 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 BY MS. MURPHY:

17 Q. But as we're sitting here today, it's

18 your testimony that you would not have done anything

19 differently; correct?

20 A. I mean, we could have done a lot of

21 things differently. You know, hindsight, we could

22 have done a lot of things, after the fact.

But during that time -- I mean, we could

24 have tried to do a surround and callout on there,

and somebody might have came out, and they might

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

122 have done something. However, somebody could have say a hundred percent they would have. But we could 2 came out and went into a neighboring apartment and 2 have pushed up the fact that we could go up there 3 caused an issue or we could have hurt a citizen by 3 quietly, we could have placed a charge on there, we 4 doing that. could pull back, and then we could make 5 Q. Today you would have to do a surround 5 announcements. Then if nobody is coming to the 6 and callout: correct? 6 door, we can give more time there. 7 A. No. They could have applied for a 7 We could also place -- whenever we do those with ballistic breaches, we have trackers that 8 no-knock warrant. 8 9 Sorry. Okay, correct. we put on the wall that they'll light up if somebody 10 If taking the hypothesis that it was a 10 is on the other side of the door. 11 knock-and-announce, you would have to do a surround 11 So with those in place, we could have 12 and callout; correct? 12 effectively placed that charge, pulled back, gave an 13 I can't speak because I don't make those 13 amount of time, and then moved in the door and went 14 decisions. But I think today, if this warrant came 14 that route. 15 15 in and they had all the seat time and everything was Q. And, Kerry, you talked a little bit 16 in there, that they would go for a no-knock warrant, 16 earlier about when you're actually walking, like, 17 and we would go that route to serve the warrant. the units and you are the one doing the recon that 17 18 That's on this circumstance. That's it's your habit to bring magnets and to check other 18 19 what I am --19 doors. 20 Q. 20 No problem. So that's a really fair So you think -- I understand that this 21 answer. I'm going to close the loop on that as 21 is a hypothetical question, but do you think that if 22 well. 22 you would have been tasked with doing the recon on

24 anything differently, your answer then is, Hey, I 25 think this should have been a no-knock warrant. Is

When I asked you if you would do

25 door prior to SWAT showing up to serve the warrant? 125

Nellis that you would have been able -- that you

would have figured out there was a brass wrap on the

1 that fair to say?

2 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.

3 THE WITNESS: At this time, yes.

4 BY MS. MURPHY:

5 Q. Do you consider this operation a

6 failure?

23

7 A. Yeah, it's a failure.

8 Q. I know it seems like an obvious

9 question, but I need to ask it.

10 A.

11 Q. Why do you think it was a failure?

12 Well, there's the circumstances. I'm

13 living proof. I got hurt as an officer. Somebody

14 lost their life. Other people that were in that

15 neighborhood could have lost their life. He could

16 have shot other people as well. He was shooting

17 recklessly throughout the complex, other apartments

18 that were occupied at that time. So somebody else

19 could have been hurt as well. So I believe that was

20 a failure.

21 The brass wrap on the door, things 22 could have changed as far as that. Because we might 22

23 have -- if we knew that that brass wrap was there,

there might have been other plans that we could have pushed up that might have been approved. I can't

123

23

1

2

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate that I

would have. If I didn't get a good look at the

door, I'd probably try, if I didn't know a hundred

percent, do something to go out there and try and

get close enough to where I could identify something

7 that was there.

8 BY MS. MURPHY:

9 Because your earlier testimony was that 10 it's your practice that, if you can't get to the

actual door -- which I understand is not advisable

12 for a variety of reasons -- that you'd probably go

13

look at another door in the complex; correct?

14 Well, I think on every recon I've done 15 I've checked like doors. So I always check the like

16 door. I check the frame, I check the door, just to

see. Because nine times out of ten -- a brass wrap

is not the same, because anybody -- you can install

19 one in your house in, you know, probably 15 minutes.

20 So anybody can install that themselves. It's not 21 something that's standard protocol on apartments.

But you have certain things that are.

23 The metal doors -- and that's not a hundred percent, but a metal door, if it's a metal door and a metal frame, nine out of ten every door



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

126 in there is going to be metal on metal. You're 1 is not listed, but he's over here. going to know that by checking those. 2 So I'm going to hand you a pen. There might be somebody that put up a 3 And somebody else is over here. A. 4 dead man behind it or they might have put a brass 4 Q. I'm going to hand you this pen. If you 5 wrap on there or did something on their own to want to just draw in who is missing. And we're 6 reinforce that door. going to make this an exhibit to the report as well, 7 But that takes a lot of that out of or the transcript. 8 there -- you know, I wouldn't say a hundred 8 There's a couple that aren't identified. 9 percent -- by not checking the actual apartment 9 A. That aren't identified? 10 door, but I would have 90 percent going in knowing, That are not identified. They don't 10 11 okay, these doors are all, you know, metal. identify everybody. Like, they've got all the 11 Now, if every single one that I checked 12 12 badges to indicate police officers, but they don't 13 had a brass wrap, that would change things as well. identify all of them. 13 14 And I don't believe -- well, I can't 14 A. Okay. Yeah, that's what I was looking 15 attest to that. I don't think that there was brass 15 for on here. 16 wraps on every door in there, but I'm not going to 16 I don't a hundred percent know. He's on 17 say a hundred percent because I didn't go and walk 17 the lineup on here. Do you still want me to put 18 it and look at it. him? 18 Okay. But you don't know if the recon 19 Q. 19 Q. Yeah, go ahead. 20 officers did a check for like doors either; correct? 20 A. Those are the only two I see listed that 21 To be honest, no, I don't. 21 aren't on there. 22 MS. MURPHY: Okay. All right. If you 22 MS. MURPHY: So we'll just mark this as 23 want to give me just a minute, I'm going to go over 23 Exhibit 3. 24 my notes. I think we might be done. 24 (Exhibit 3 marked.) 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off 25 MS. MURPHY: That will be an exhibit. 127 129 record at 12:35 p.m. 1 BY MS. MURPHY: 2 (A break was taken.) 2 Kerry, thank you so much for your THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record 3 3 testimony here today. At this time I don't have any other questions except to say did I allow you the 4 at 12:39 p.m. 5 BY MS. MURPHY: opportunity to answer all my questions? 6 Kerry, this is just to clean up the 6 A. Yes, ma'am. Q. 7 record a little bit. 7 MS. MURPHY: Excellent. We talked about your position relative 8 MR. ANDERSON: I have no questions. 9 to it, and I was able to find a diagram within the 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the 10 CIRT report which kind of shows the various video-recorded deposition of Kerry Kubla taken on 11 individuals. October 11, 2024. We're going off the video record, 12 And that's -and the time is 12:43 p.m. 12 13 LVMPD 04389? 13 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want a copy? A. Correct. And based on how you kind of 14 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. No video. Waive. 15 described that to me before, the diagram within the 15 (Proceedings concluded at 12:44 p.m.) 16 CIRT report is consistent with how you described it; 16 17 correct? 17 18 I think you may have identified some 18 19 different officers. But in terms of, like, the 19 20 actual positioning of the officers, irrespective of 20 21 their names, that is consistent with how you kind of 21 22 described to me the different positioning of the 22 23 SWAT officers around the unit and the actual layout 23 24 of the unit itself; correct? 24 25 Yes, ma'am. There's just -- Bertuccini 25

STATE OF NEWARA 5 2 STATE OF NEWARA 5 3 COUNTY OF CLARK 5 4 I. Holly Larmen, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of Newada, do hereby certify; 5 courtify; 6 courtify; 7 aforeaald; 8 In the experiment of the deposition of the witness, kerry Nahla, at the time and place a foreaald; 9 truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, the whole truth, the whole truth, the country of the		100	
STATE OF NEWADA) SS COUNTY OF CLARK) I, Holly Larsen, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of Newada, do hereby certify: That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place aforesaid; That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action, nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN MITNESS HERROF, I have hereunto set my hand in the Country of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680		130	
COUNTY OF CLARK 1			
COUNTY OF CLARK I, Holly Larsen, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify: That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place aforesaid; That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30 ele was wived. IN MITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	2		
licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify: That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place aforesaid; That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action; nor do Service of the parties of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	3	·	
That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place aforesaid; That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action; how the unusel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	4		
That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place aforesaid; That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	5		
aforesaid; That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN MITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole 9 truth, and nothing but the truth; 10 That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript 11 of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said 12 time to the best of my ability. 13 I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a 14 relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the 15 action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties 16 involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript 17 review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. 18 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of 19 October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
9 truth, and nothing but the truth; 10 That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript 11 of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said 12 time to the best of my ability. 13 I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a 14 relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the 15 action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties 16 involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript 17 review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. 18 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of 19 October, 2024. 20 21 22 23 HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	ľ		
into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	10		
record of testimony provided by the witness at said time to the best of my ability. I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	11		
I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680		record of testimony provided by the witness at said	
employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a 14 relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the 15 action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties 16 involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. 18 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. 20 21 22 23 HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the 15 action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. 18 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. 20 21 22 23 Holly Jarsen HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	13		
action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. With the county of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. With the county of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024.	14	relative or employee of the parties involved in said	
of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. Holly January Holly LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	1 =		
involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. Holly Jarsen Holly Jarsen	1,2		
17 review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived. 18 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. 20 Holly Jarsen HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	16	involved in the action that may reasonably cause my	
IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. 20 21 22 23 Holly Jarker HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	17		
the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of October, 2024. 20 21 22 23 Holly Jarsen Holly LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
20 21 22 23 #blly Jarsen 40 HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680		the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of	
21 22 23 Holly Jarsen Holly Larsen, CCR NO. 680		October, 2024.	
23 Holly Jarsen 24 HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680			
24 HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680		4.44 . 4	
HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	23	Holly Farser	
	24		
	١,,	HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680	
	25		